

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/X0360/W/17/3180148

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference

Appeal By

Site Address

SENDER DETAILS

Name

Address

ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- Appellant
- Agent
- Interested Party / Person
- Land Owner
- Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- Final Comments
- Proof of Evidence
- Statement
- Statement of Common Ground
- Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

We would ask you to uphold the original decision made by WBC and reject this application. We objected previously and would like to emphasise two points:

The Rose Garden lies 12 metres below Pool Court and so is vulnerable to the adverse effects of overlooking and loss of privacy, as well as noise and disturbance, from the proposed new two-bedroom garage block. In addition, groundworks close to the embankment could well de-stabilise the area and cause issues for both properties.

We moved into the Sonning Conservation Area in the knowledge that any development would be sympathetic to the neighbourhood and enhance the area. The proposed 3-storey house, whilst perfectly acceptable in places where houses dominate the environment, is not in keeping with the rural village of Sonning, and it would be clearly seen from the tow-path. Furthermore, the 2017 CAA Appraisal states that there is a high potential for archaeological deposits and the groundworks required for such a large basement could have an impact on archaeological remains.