

PLANNING REF : 253074

Further to my email dated 17 April 2026 and the commencement of a Revised Plans Consultation commencing on 13 April 2026 I received a card in the post today stating that amended plans have been received regarding

... "Changes to fenestration on plots 15-20 and 23, Revised plans and reports to address comments from Ecology, Landscape & Trees and Berkshire Archaeology, Revised access road and drainage strategy"

... and yet the descriptions of 6 plans posted yesterday bizarrely relate to other planning aspects eg Refuse Plan, Tenure mix, Parking Management Strategy, External Material & Boundary treatment, Roof Plan and First floor plans. How non-sensical is this - what plans are the subject of consultation.

This planning application needs to be refused or closed as undetermined for its ongoing lack of coherence over any unprecedented period of 3 years.

The construction of 23 dwellings serve no benefit in an unsustainable location in the context of housing targets - these 23 dwellings over the past 3 years must be costing a fortune in distracting resources.

PROPERTY ADDRESS : 36 Martineau Lane

:

: RG100SF

SUBMITTED BY : Mr Jonathan Norris

DATE SUBMITTED : 18/04/2026

COMMENTS:

Further to my email dated 17 April 2026 and the commencement of a Revised Plans Consultation commencing on 13 April 2026 I received a card in the post today stating that amended plans have been received regarding

... "Changes to fenestration on plots 15-20 and 23, Revised plans and reports to address comments from Ecology, Landscape & Trees and Berkshire Archaeology, Revised access road and drainage strategy"

... and yet the descriptions of 6 plans posted yesterday bizarrely relate to other planning aspects eg Refuse Plan, Tenure mix, Parking Management Strategy, External Material & Boundary treatment, Roof Plan and First floor plans. How non-sensical is this - what plans are the subject of consultation.

This planning application needs to be refused or closed as undetermined for its ongoing lack of coherence over any unprecedented period of 3 years.

The construction of 23 dwellings serve no benefit in an unsustainable location in the context of housing targets - these 23 dwellings over the past 3 years must be costing a fortune in distracting resources.