SOUTHPORT U.K.
LONDON OFFICE

SYSTEMS LTD

APPLICATION NO:
F/2000/0654

LOCATION:
25, The Sheiling Copse Mead, Woodley Reading.

APPLICANT:
Dr D Tindall

PROPOSAL:
Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.

EARLIEST DATE FOR DECISION: **24/03/2000**

APPLICATION EXPIRY DATE: **18/04/2000**

CASE OFFICER: **Natalie Brown**

WOKINGHAM DISTRICT COUNCIL

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS

Notification of Approval of Permission

Dr D Tindall
25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead
Woodley
Reading
RG5 4RP

Application Number: F/2000/0654

Parish: **WOODLEY**

Location: **25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.**

Proposal: **Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.**

The Wokingham District Council in pursuance of their powers under the above Acts and Regulations hereby **Approve Permission** for carrying out the above development in accordance with the application and the accompanying plans submitted to the Council subject to compliance with the following conditions, the reasons for which are specified hereunder.

Condition
1. The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of five years from the date of this permission.

Condition
2. All new external work shall be carried out in materials that resemble in size, colour and texture, those of the existing building.

Reason
1. In pursuance of Section 91(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Reason
2. To ensure a satisfactory external appearance.

Head of Planning and Transportation
Date: 13 April 2000

If this notice grants permission to proceed with the proposal the applicant is reminded that it relates to planning permission only and does not constitute approval under any other legislation including Building Regulations.

Received by Wokingham District Council

Application No: F/2000/0654

Proposal:
Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.

Location:
25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.

The application is available for inspection at Wokingham District Council's offices during the following times:

PLANNING DEPARTMENT, SHUTE END, WOKINGHAM TEL (0118) 974 6428
8.30 - 5.00 Monday - Thursday & 8.30 - 4.00 Friday

WOODLEY LIBRARY HEADLEY ROAD WOODLEY (9.30am - 7.00pm Mon, Thurs & Fri. 9.30am - 5.00pm Tues, 9.30am - 1.00pm Saturday. closed all day Wednesday). If you intend to view this application at Woodley Library (see details above) please allow 4 days from the date of this letter for delivery of plans.

Comments should be sent in writing QUOTING THE APPLICATION NUMBER to the Case Officer Natalie Brown P.O. Box 157 Council Offices, Shute End, Wokingham Berkshire, RG40 1WR to arrive on or before 24/03/2000. Written comments submitted will become available for public inspection.

In view of the very large number of planning applications and letters of comment received, it will not normally be possible for an individual reply to observations made. However any comments will be taken into account when the application is determined and you will be notified of the decision.

Head Planning and Transportation

Tel: (0118) 974 6492

Date: 29/3/2000

My ref: F/2000/0654

CONFIDENTIAL

Councillor T McCann
5 Seaford Gardens
Woodley
RG5 3LN

Environmental Services Department
Planning Services
P.O. Box 157
Shute End, Wokingham
Berkshire RG40 1WR
Tel: (0118) 974 6000
Fax: (0118) 974 6484
Minicom No: (0118) 974 6991
DX: 33506 – Wokingham

Dear Councillor

Application Number: F/2000/0654
25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.
Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.

I write to let you know that the above mentioned application has been recommended for APPROVAL: ☑ ~~REFUSAL:~~ ☐ under delegated powers.

Andrew Thorley 6487

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact ~~Natalie Brown~~ on **(0118) 974 ~~6482~~**.
If you then feel the application needs to be transferred to the next available Development Control Sub-Committee for decision please fill in the form below.
This form must be received no later than 10/4/2000

Yours faithfully

Chris Ward

Head of ~~Planning and~~ Transportation

LISTING FORM Andrew Thorley

Case officer: ~~Natalie Brown~~ Application Number: **F/2000/0654**
25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.
Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.

Transfer: Yes ☐ No ☐
Reason for Transfer:

_____

_____

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Councillor T McCann

NB. It would be helpful for speedy decision making if you could respond, even if you do not wish this application to be transferred.

Tel: (0118) 974 6492

Date: 29/3/2000

My ref: F/2000/0654

CONFIDENTIAL

Councillor T Dredge
67 Welford Road
Woodley
RG5 4QS

Environmental Services Department
Planning Services
P.O. Box 157
Shute End, Wokingham
Berkshire RG40 1WR
Tel: (0118) 974 6000
Fax: (0118) 974 6484
Minicom No: (0118) 974 6991
DX: 33506 – Wokingham

Dear Councillor

Application Number: F/2000/0654
25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.
Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.

I write to let you know that the above mentioned application has been recommended for APPROVAL: ☑ ~~REFUSAL:~~ ☐ under delegated powers.

Andrew Thorley 6487

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact ~~Natalie Brown~~ on **(0118) 974 ~~6482~~**.
If you then feel the application needs to be transferred to the next available Development Control Sub-Committee for decision please fill in the form below.
This form must be received no later than 10/4/2000

Yours faithfully

Chris Ward
Head of Planning and Transportation

LISTING FORM Andrew Thorley
Case officer: ~~Natalie Brown~~ Application Number: **F/2000/0654**
25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.
Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.

Transfer: Yes ☐ No ☐
Reason for Transfer:

_____

_____

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Councillor T Dredge

NB. It would be helpful for speedy decision making if you could respond, even if you do not wish this application to be transferred.

Tel: (0118) 974 6492

Date: 29/3/2000

My ref: F/2000/0654

CONFIDENTIAL

Councillor L Ferris
The Corner House
Station Road
Twyford
RG10 9NG

Environmental Services Department
Planning Services
P.O. Box 157
Shute End, Wokingham
Berkshire RG40 1WR
Tel: (0118) 974 6000
Fax: (0118) 974 6484
Minicom No: (0118) 974 6991
DX: 33506 – Wokingham

Dear Councillor

Application Number: F/2000/0654
25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.
Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.

I write to let you know that the above mentioned application has been recommended for APPROVAL: ☑ ~~REFUSAL: ☐~~ under delegated powers. Andrew Thorley 6487

If you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact ~~Natalie Brown~~ on **(0118) 974 6492**.
If you then feel the application needs to be transferred to the next available Development Control Sub-Committee for decision please fill in the form below.
This form must be received no later than 10/4/2000

Yours faithfully

Chris Bird

Head of Planning ~~and Transportation~~

LISTING FORM Andrew Thorley
Case officer: ~~Natalie Brown~~ Application Number: **F/2000/0654**
25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.
Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.

Transfer: Yes ☐ No ☐
Reason for Transfer:

_____

_____

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Councillor L Ferris

NB. It would be helpful for speedy decision making if you could respond, even if you do not wish this application to be transferred.

WORK SHEET FOR : ~~Natalie Brown~~ Andrew Thorley

Application Number : F/2000/0654

Applicant : Dr D Tindall .

Location : 25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.

Proposal : Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.

Site Notice Displayed ? :	YES	NO	DATE
	✓		20/03/00

Date of Neighbour Notifications : .....✓.....

Date of Site Visit : ..... 21/03/00 .....

Date Registered : 22/02/2000

Earliest Date for Decision : 24/03/2000

Latest Consultation Date/Site Notice Expiry Date : 24/03/2000

Application Expiry Date: 18/04/2000

Consultation Responses :

Woodley Town Council : No comments.

Rail Track : ~~No comments received~~ No objections - (see letter)

Neighbours : None received.

Planning Issues : (i0'd Settlement) WDLP/WOS2/WBE1 LALP/LBE1/LBE2.

1) Impact on streetscene / visual amenity

2) Impact on amenities of neighbouring properties

Application Number: F/2000/0654

Appraisal :

1) Impact on streetscene / visual amenity

The proposal involves the addition of a conservatory measuring 4m wide, 4.9m long and 3m to ridge, on the rear elevation, considered acceptable — no impact on streetscene / visual amenity of area.

2) Impact on amenities of neighbouring properties

No 27, to the north east, [redacted] (is set back behind No 25's rear building line by 3.5m) secondary windows in (ground floor) flank elevation facing No 25 (3m away from a 1.8m high fence) however the main windows serving the ground floor will not be overshadowed or overlooked by the new conservatory.

No 23, to the south west, is ~~also~~ set back by 2.5m behind No 25's [redacted] garage by line, main windows in rear elevation on ground and first floor), [redacted] due to the distance away and that there is a 2m high panelled fence between No 23 and No 25 along the boundary, the occupiers of this property will not be overlooked ∴ no adverse impact.

Recomendation : Approval: ☑ **Refusal:** ☐
(tick appropriate box)

Application Number F/2000/0654
Conditions/~~Reasons for Refusal~~

No	Std Con	Std Reas	Non Std Conditions / Reasons for Refusal
1.	SC01	SR02	
2.	SC53	SR12	~~"Building"~~ "work"

RECORD OF SITE VISIT

SITE ADDRESS: 25, The Sheiling
Copse Mead Woodley Reading.

APPLICATION NUMBER: F/2000/0654

OFFICER: ~~Natalie Brown~~

DATE OF SITE VISIT: 21/03/00

1 SITE/STATUTORY NOTICE DISPLAYED ? Yes on front cherry tree

2. DESCRIPTION OF SURROUNDINGS

residential 2 storey detached houses spacious grounds

3. DESCRIPTION OF SITE/BUILDING An extended, detached, 2 storey, render with cream coloured paint, UPVC windows and solid wood front door, pantile roof, front porch, open plan front garden.

4. RELATIONSHIP TO ADJOINING PROPERTIES

See plan, no overlooking from neighbouring properties,

5. SITE CONSTRAINTS

TREES —

ACCESS/PARKING As existing at front of house

LEVELS /

BOUNDARY TREATMENT – No 23, 2m high lap wooden fence
– No 27, 1.8m + 1m high wooden fence together with shrubbery
– Rear boundary, railway line embankment

OTHERS

WOKINGHAM D.C. DEVELOPMENT CONTROL

Start date:	22 FEB 2000
Officer: NAB	Application No. F/2000/0654

Map No. 32 Site ...014...
Easting: ..... Flood Pln .....
Northing: ..... Mineral .....

H

Level: ☐ Sub Committee ☑ Delegated ☐ Sec. State
☐ Incorrect Fee ☐ Invalid ☐ PD

Description:
(F.) PROPOSED SINGLE STOREY REAR EXTENSION TO DWELLING TO FORM CONSERVATORY

Location: 25 COPSE MEAD

Parish: WOODLEY Ward: CORONATION 29:02:00

Applicant: DR D TINDALL

Fee Received 95-00 (10) Required ..... Additional ..... Refund .....

Enforcement Section to be notified ☐ tick if required

Additional information required for validation

		Reason	Requested	Received
1	1:2500 OS location plan showing property edged in blue/red	~~Unnamed roads.~~		
2	1:500 block plan showing existing & proposed dev. + adj. Properties			
3	Forms unsigned			
4	Correct S 66 certificate			
5	Elevation plans			
6	Floor plans			
7	Metric scale disclaimer			
8	Encroachment letter			
9	Miscellaneous			

APPLN NO. _____

ACTION REQUIRED BY DEVELOPMENT CONTROL

Conservation Area .....

Listed Building 1 2 2*

Advertisement Required .....

Date Site Notice Sent : 29.02.00 Parish Council Informed - (YES) / NO

INTERNAL CONSULTATIONS

Building Control	NF: .....
Landscape	NK: .....
Trees	NK: .....
Conservation Officer	NK: .....
Environmental Health	NA: .....
Housing	NA: .....
Leisure & Cultural services	PA: .....
Public Rights of Way	PA: .....
Property	NG: .....
District Secretary (only if called in by SOS)	MA: .....
Policy & Plans	QA: .....
Strategic Planning (JSPU)	HA: .....
Minerals (BABTIE)	GA: .....
Archaeological Site (BABTIE)	: .....
Education	AP: .....
Social Services	BT: .....
Highways	XA: .....

EXTERNAL CONSULTATIONS

Adjacent D P A	: .....
Adjacent Parish	: .....
Southern Electricity Board	BN: .....
British Gas	BM: .....
PSA Oil Pipeline	BD: .....
Transco	: .....
Environment Agency	BP: .....
(Rail Track)	(BI:) .....
Police Arch. Liaison Officer	PC: .....

HERITAGE BODIES

The Georgian Group	KC: .....
Ancient Monuments Society	KA: .....
Council for British Arch.	KB: .....
RCHME	KF: .....
Telecommunications (NRPB)	: .....
Sport England	: .....

OTHERS:

.....

.....

..... NO pre-apps.

NEIGHBOURS:

..... S/N sufficient .....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

1327

WOKINGHAM DISTRICT COUNCIL

To ~~Natalie~~ Andrew.

Date _____ Time 10.20

WHILE YOU WERE OUT

Name Dave Tindal (Agent)

Of _____

Phone No. 01344 426844 Ext. _____

Phoned	✓	Please call back	✓
Called to see you		Will call back	
Wants to see you		Urgent	

MESSAGE REF! F/2000/0654

25 The Sheiling Copse Mead.

Supposedly railtrack have no objections ~~Railtrack~~ to this application see copy of fax from Dave Tindall

Signed Helen P.

PLANNING
DC AAB
APP
CS
27 MAR 2000
COPIES TO:
ACK:
FILE:
27641

Andrew

RAILTRACK

Southern

DW Tindall
The Sheiling
25 Copse Mead
Woodley
Reading
RG5 4RP

Outside Party Engineer
Railtrack Southern
CP 2-2-H
General Offices
Waterloo Station
LONDON
SE1 8SW

Tel: 0171-902 3206
Fax: 0171-902 3632

Our ref: C7/01/148/0/102/CLS
Date: 12/03/00

Dear Sir/Madam

Re **TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT**

Location: **The Sheiling, 25 Copse Mead, Woodley, Rading, RG5 4RP (Earley Station)**

Proposal: **Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory.**

Please find attached a copy of my letter to Wokingham District Council outlining my comments regarding the above Application.

I would be obliged if you would note our requirements and act on them accordingly in order to safeguard the adjoining railway.

Yours faithfully

pp Bernard Purcell
for the Asset Information Manager

Enclosure: Letter sent to Planning Department.
Comments and conditions to be observed in connection with the development of land adjacent to the railway.

Telefax

To: ANDREW THORLEY
Fax: 0118 974 6984
From: DAVE TINDALL Ref F/2000/0654
Date: 24/3/00 Pages: 2

RAILTRACK

Southern

Wokingham District Council
Environental Services Department
Planning Services
P Obox 157
Shute End
Wokingham
Berkshire RG40 1WR

For the attention of
Natalie Brown

Application no: F/2000/0654

Outside Party Engineer
Railtrack Southern
CP 2-2-H
General Offices
Waterloo Station
LONDON
SE1 8SW

Tel: 0171-902 3206
Fax: 0171-902 3632

Our ref: C7/01/148/0/102/CLS
Date: 12/03/00

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT

Location: The Shelling, 25 Copse Mead, Woodley, Rading, RG5 4RP (Earley Station)

Proposal: Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory

Thank you for your letter of 29/02/00 asking for Railtrack's comments about the above application for planning permission.

Please find attached a copy of Railtrack's standard requirements, which need to be observed by the developer to ensure the integrity of Railtrack's infrastructure and the safety of the operational railway at all times. Railtrack reserve the right to make any additional comments and conditions considered necessary at a later stage.

I have examined the site plan and ground floor plan provided and confirm that in principle there are no objections to the proposals at this time.

Please advise me of the outcome of this application at your earliest convenience and note that a copy of this letter has been forwarded to the Applicant's Agent.

Yours faithfully

pp **Bernard Purcell**
For the Asset Information Manager

Enclosure: Railtrack's standard requirements - Comments and conditions to be observed in connection with the development of land adjacent to the railway.

RAILTRACK

PLANNING SERVICES | HP Southern
POL | TLS
DC | ENF
24 MAR 2000
COPIES TO: | ACK: | FILE:

Wokingham District Council
Environental Services Department
Planning Services
P Obox 157
Shute End
Wokingham
Berkshire RG40 1WR

For the attention of
Natalie Brown

Outside Party Engineer
Railtrack Southern
CP 2-2-H
General Offices
Waterloo Station
LONDON
SE1 8SW

Tel: 0171-902 3206
Fax: 0171-902 3632

Application no: F/2000/0654

Our ref: C7/01/148/0/102/CLS
Date: 12/03/00

Dear Sir/Madam

RE: TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING ACT

Location: The Sheiling, 25 Copse Mead, Woodley, Rading, RG5 4RP (Earley Station)

Proposal: Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory

Thank you for your letter of 29/02/00 asking for Railtrack's comments about the above application for planning permission.

Please find attached a copy of Railtrack's standard requirements, which need to be observed by the developer to ensure the integrity of Railtrack's infrastructure and the safety of the operational railway at all times. Railtrack reserve the right to make any additional comments and conditions considered necessary at a later stage.

I have examined the site plan and ground floor plan provided and confirm that in principle there are no objections to the proposals at this time.

Please advise me of the outcome of this application at your earliest convenience and note that a copy of this letter has been forwarded to the Applicant's Agent.

Yours faithfully

pp **Bernard Purcell**
For the Asset Information Manager

Enclosure: Railtrack's standard requirements - Comments and conditions to be observed in connection with the development of land adjacent to the railway.

RAILTRACK

Southern

Comments/Conditions To Be Observed in Connection With The Development Of Land Adjacent To The Railway

Planning Matters

1. If there are any trees on Railtrack's property adjacent to the development site, the design of the foundations of any proposed buildings close to the boundary must take into account the possibility of damage, etc., caused by penetration of tree roots. Furthermore, it should be noted that Railtrack cannot accept liability for any such damage caused at a later date. The Building Research Establishment's guidance noted should be implemented.

2. You are no doubt aware that in Autumn leaves falling on to the rails can cause potential hazards to rail traffic, particularly where the railway is at or below the general ground level.

   Any new trees to be planted adjacent to the railway boundary should be in accordance with the attached information sheet on page 5 headed 'Tree Species', and be located sufficiently clear of the common boundary not to create a nuisance through penetration of tree roots or overhanging branches which could provide a means of gaining access to the Railway's property, or inhibit the maintenance of Railtrack's fencing.

   Proposed tree species should be selected with due consideration for their mature height and root size. Where an inappropriate specie is selected regular maintenance lopping, etc., may be required to prevent damage to Railtrack's infrastructure and the train operator's trains. This may be costly if stoppages of the train service are required to undertake maintenance safely.

3. It may be necessary to enhance/renew Railtrack's existing lineside boundary fencing as a result of the development in order to reduce the risk of trespass and possible vandalism. Railtrack will seek payment for any improvements necessary, but would (for their part) continue to maintain the fence for as long as it is required for railway purposes. This will apply only if the enhanced fencing is to a standard acceptable to Railtrack.

   Any additional fencing required on the railway boundary e.g. for screening purposes, must be independent of Railtrack's fencing and allow room for maintenance of both fences.

Issued by: Railtrack PLC Asset Information Group, CP2 2H, Waterloo General Offices, London SE1 8SW
Date: July 1997

Building Regulation Matters

4. One set of detailed and fully dimensional drawings should be submitted for approval prior to commencement of work showing full structural details, particularly in respect of foundations (i.e. size, type and depth), services and drainage.

   A location plan will also be required (scale 1:1250 preferred) showing the distance of the scheme from the railway boundary, with special reference to new/existing structures and the nearest railway feature, such as a level crossing, bridge or station.

5. Full details of any proposed alteration to ground levels adjacent to Railtrack's lineside fencing should be submitted to Railtrack at the earliest opportunity for approval in the form of cross-sections to a natural scale showing existing and proposed levels. In any event the alteration must not reduce the effective height of Railtrack's fencing.

6. Full details of proposed drainage systems will be required. All drainage needs to be directed away from the railway and into local authority sewers, and it should be installed a minimum distance of 2 metres from the railway boundary.

   The use of soakaways is not favoured by Railtrack and therefore is unacceptable as they could have a detrimental effect on Railtrack's land. The drainage system should be designed to take this into account.

   No increase in surface water discharge into Railtrack maintained drain or culvert may be made without prior written consent.

Additional Requirements for Protecting Railtrack's Interests

7. For all new developments adjacent to operational lines Railtrack accepts no liability in respect of noise and vibration. Developers should undertake their own investigations to establish any level of noise and vibration likely to originate from the operation of the railway, and design their mitigation measures accordingly.

8. All works, both temporary and permanent should be designed and constructed so that they will have no influence on the stability of Railtrack'' structures and adjoining land.

9. Common boundary or support walls must be of reinforced concrete. Sheet piling will not be acceptable, due to early corrosion.

10. Vibro-compaction will not be permitted, especially in the vicinity of structures, embankments or cutting slopes.

Issued by: Railtrack PLC Asset Information Group, CP2 2H, Waterloo General Offices, London SE1 8SW
Date: July 1997

11. Railtrack advise that the use of bored, cast in-situ reinforced concrete piles are recommended. Normally these have no detrimental vibration effects during construction.

12. All structures should be situated at a minimum distance of 2 metres from Railtrack's boundary fence and at a sufficient distance to enable construction to proceed without, in the Railtrack Engineer's opinion, the risk of affecting the normal and safe running of the railway. This clearance will also enable construction and maintenance to proceed without the need to enter on to Railtrack property. Please note that unless previous safety protection has been arranged, access on to Railtrack property will not be permitted for any activity associated with any works.

13. Where the proposal includes the demolition of any existing buildings Railtrack will need to approve full details of the proposed method of demolition (together with those for temporary works) in the form of a Method Statement.

14. All new construction should be sufficiently clear of the boundary, and all foundations should be so designed, that they do not impose any surcharge on railway cutting slopes or structures. In addition, it should be borne to mind that cutting slopes could be subject to erosion through the effects of weathering, and all new construction should be at a sufficient distance from the slope to take this into account.

    At no time should an embankment supporting the railway be cut into or built upon.

15. A suitable kerb or approved crash barrier must be provided along any road or parking area adjacent to Railtrack's fencing to prevent damage by vehicles. Such barriers are to be positioned so as not to afford a means of scaling the fencing.

16. Railtrack reserve the right to request the screening of any light, including vehicle lights, which may interfere with the sighting of signalling apparatus and/or train drivers vision on approaching trains.

17. Any scaffolding which is to be constructed adjacent to the railway must be erected in such a manner that at no time will any poles over-sail or fall on to the railway. Black protective netting around the scaffold may need to be installed. A Method Statement for construction and dismantling of the scaffold will need to be provided for acceptance. Scaffolding may need to be erected under a track closure (possession) of the railway because of the dangers to trains and from the electrified conductor rail. A minimum of 16 weeks notice is required to arrange any possession of the track.

Issued by: Railtrack PLC Asset Information Group, CP2 2H, Waterloo General Offices, London SE1 8SW
Date: July 1997

18. Any cranes or other plant to be used on site must be positioned and operate in such a way that, in the event of mishandling or failure of any part of the equipment or subsidence of the ground supporting the equipment, they will not move or fall within 3 metres of the nearest rail. Their loads or jibs should not under any circumstances oversail Railtrack's property.

19. Full details of any tower cranes to be used on site should be submitted for approval, together with a plan showing the relevant jib radii. The cranes (and any other plant) must be positioned in such a way that, in the event of failure, they will not fall within 3 metres of the nearest rail, nor will their loads or jibs under any circumstances oversail Railtrack's property. A Method Statement must be prepared for Railtrack's approval e.g. it may be necessary to down-rate the crane capacity by 25% to reduce the risk of failure.

20. Railtrack is not in favour of play areas immediately adjacent to railway boundary fencing, due to the increased risk of trespass and vandalism. Should the proposal proceed, additional protection will need to be provided by the erection of a 3-metre high security fence adjacent to and parallel with Railtrack's own fence. The additional fence is to be maintained at the Developer's cost.

21. Golf courses will need to be screened to a minimum effective height in relation to the railway track of 6 metres, and in certain cases this may need to be increased. It is recommended that all shots/drives be directed away from the boundary to avoid the potential risk of a mis-hit golf ball causing damage or injury to passing trains, and railway staff or passengers.

22. Any hedge planted adjacent to Railtrack's boundary fencing for screening purposes should be so placed that when fully grown it does not damage the fencing or provide a means of scaling it. No hedge should prevent Railtrack from maintaining its boundary fencing.

23. All costs incurred by Railtrack in connection with the works should be reimbursed by the Developer. A Third Party Works Request Form is to be completed and submitted to this office before work commences. This form is available on request.

TREE SPECIES

Not Recommended	Permitted
Ainus glutinosa (Alder)	Betula (spp) (Birch)
Populus (spp) (Aspen, Poplar)	Malus sylvestris (Crab Apple)
Fagus Sylvatica (Beech)	Acer Campestre (Field Maple)
Prunus Sylvatica (Beech)	Prunus Padus (Bird Cherry)
Prunus Avium (Wild Cherry)	Pyrys Communis (Wild Pear)
Carpinus Betulus (Hornbeam)	Pinus (spp) (Fir Trees - Pines)
Tilia Cordata (Small-leaved Lime)	Cretaegus (spp) (Hawthorn)
Quercus (spp) (Oak)	Corylus Avellana (Hazel)
Salix Willow (spp) (Willows)	Sorbus (spp) (Mountain Ash, Whitebeams)
Acer (spp) (Sycamore, Norway Maple)	Robinia (Pseudo) (False Acacia)
Aesculus Hippocastanum (Horse Chestnut)	Shrubby Salix (Willow Shrubs)
Castanea Sativa (Sweet Chestnut)	Thuja Plicata 'Zebrina'
Platanus Hispanica (London Plane)	

BORDER LINE


Fraximus Excelsior (Ash)

OTHER TREES TO BE REFERRED

Issued by: Railtrack PLC Asset Information Group, CP2 2H, Waterloo General Offices, London SE1 8SW
Date: July 1997

FROM: Natalie Brown

DATE: 29 February 2000



WOODLEY TOWN CONSULTATION SHEET


Application Number: F/2000/0654

Proposal: Proposed single storey rear extension to dwelling to form conservatory..

Site Address: 25, The Sheiling, Copse Mead, Woodley, Reading.

Applicant: Dr D Tindall .

Your observations are required in respect of this application **by 24/03/2000** .



SIGNED: Anne Keenan DATE: 8.3.00.

Clerk To Parish/Town Council.

"THE SHEILING"

Pc 323 39/00/025

ADDRESS 25 COPSE MEAD

PARISH WOODLEY

PLOT No. Old Property

ORD. SHEET No. 37 SU.76/7A

BUILDER

Plan No.	Applicant	Description of Development	Date of Decision	Decision
BR 617	Mr. A. Chandler	Replacement garage	8.5.74	App N/
02471	"	2/STOREY EXTENSION	17.6.75	N/A C/
BR 2380	"	" "	30.4.75	App N/A
AR24688	MR & MRS J. ALEXANDER	EXTENSION	21.6.85	APP N/A
23667	"	2/St. & S/St. EXTENSIONS		R
24666	"	S/C. HT. TO DINING ROOM	9.10.85	N/A CA
BRA/300	"			
		S/St. EXTENSION	2.9.86	APP
36666			21.11.90	C
BRA9591	"	UTILITY & DORMER WINDOW	11.10.90	APP

DATE OF COMPLETION

MINISTRY DECISION

SPECIAL ORDERS PD Enq - S/St/R Conserv - Tindall - 11/2/00

DRAINAGE

Environmental Services

For office use only. Application number:

Householder planning application form

Please answer all questions in CAPITAL letters.
If any question does not apply to you, put N/A in the space.
Please send six copies of the filled in form and your plans to the address on the front of the folder.
We provide guidance notes to help you fill in the form. If you have any questions, please call Planning Support on 0118 974 6428 or 0118 974 6429.

1	Your name Address and postcode Phone number	DR. D. W. TINDALL THE SHELLING, 25 COPSE MEAD WOODLEY READING. RG5 4RP 0118 969 6926
2	Agent's Name Address and postcode Phone number	N/A
3	Site We need you to send in six copies of the 1:2500 scale location plan and six copies of the 1:500 scale block plan, with the site outlined in red. You can buy these maps from the Environmental Services reception or order them by phoning 0118 974 6485.	ATTACHED As 1 above
4	Give brief details of the proposal for example, two storey side extension. About the application	CONSERVATORY ON REAR OF HOUSE
5	Are there any trees on the site? If Yes, please show their positions, spread and species of the trees on the plans. Say which trees you plan to cut down.	Yes ☐ No ☑
6	Please list the external materials you will use for example, red brick to match any existing bricks and dark stained timber.	BRICK DWARF WALL TO MATCH EXISTING HOUSE. UPVC UPPER. WITH GLASS AND POLYCARBONATE GLAZING
7	Will the proposal change the pedestrian or vehicle access to the site? Please show details of the existing access and any proposed changes on the plans.	Yes ☐ No ☑
8	Will the car parking provision at the site be changed? If Yes, please show details of any changes on the plans.	Yes ☐ No ☑

3544 Crystal Mark
Clarity approved by Plain English Campaign

22.FEB.2000 CC 4

Please turn over

9	How many bedrooms does the property have?	Existing: 4	Proposed: 4
10	Does a public right of way touch the site? If yes, please show the route and any proposed alternative on the plans.	Yes ☐	No ☑
11	Checklist. Please make sure that you enclose the following. a Six copies of this form signed and dated. b The fee (We have attached a current scale of fees). If you do not have to pay a fee, please say why below.		
	c Six copies of each set of plans and drawings listed below.		
	• 1:2500 scale location plan with the site outlined in red.		
	• 1:500 scale site layout plan.		
	• 1:100 or 1:200 scale floor plans and elevations.		

12 Certificate of ownership (Section 66 Town & Country Planning Act 1990)
Please delete either certificate A or certificate B. If neither apply, you must fill in a full certificate which you can get from Environmental Services.

(Owner means a person with a freehold interest, or someone who is a leasehold tenant with at least seven years left on their agreement)

Certificate A

I certify that

- At the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the application nobody, except the applicant, owned any part of the land which the application relates to.
- None of the land forms part of an agricultural holding.

Certificate B

I certify that

- I or the applicant has given the necessary notice to everyone else who (at the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the application) owned any part of the land which the application relates to as listed below.


Owner's name	Address at which the notice was served	Date on which the notice was served

None of the land forms part of an agricultural holding.

Your signature: [signature] on behalf of

Date 20/2/00

Please tick this box if you or your husband, wife or partner are employed by Wokingham District Council ☐



N

22 FEB. '00 * 0654

APPROVED

25 COPSE MEAD, WOODLEY, READING

proposed conservatory

LOCATION PLAN 1:2500



Side Elevation (East)


APPROVED

APPROVED

25 COPSE MEAD, WOODLEY, READING


proposed conservatory

22 FEB 2000 P054 Scale 1/100 Date Feb 2000



Rear Elevation

APPROVED




Side Elevation (West)

22. FEB

25 COPSE MEAD, WOODLEY
READING proposed conservatory
Scale 1/100 Date Feb 2000

CCC4



APPROVED


25 COPSE MEAD, WOODLEY, READING

proposed conservatory

GROUND FLOOR PLAN

Scale 1/100 Date Feb 2000

22.FEB.2000*



APPROVED

25 COPSE MEAD, WOODLEY, READING

proposed conservatory

SITE PLAN 1:500

22.FEB.2000* C654



Site Plan, Scale: 1 to 1250

This copy has been produced specifically for the map return scheme purposes only. No further copies may be made.

22 FEB 2000

This map is reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of HMSO Office. © Crown Copyright. Wokingham District Council, Licence No. LA086134

Date: 25 February 2000

N
W E
S