



Jennifer Pang

To ✓ Kieran Neumann

Private



Reply

Reply All

Forward



Mon 21/07/2025 15:15

Hi Kieran,

The updated response seems to be acceptable, and the Highways have no objection to the proposal.

Regarding your remarks, I'm unsure if that would be worsened by the proposed extensions. As suggested by the applicant, majority of the staff will be living on-site. I'm also not expecting the extension of pack house will increase the capacity for visitors. Therefore, a travel plan for staff (and visitors?) on reducing car journey may not be required.

Meanwhile, it is also stated that Heathlands Packhouse (Packhouse Road) is solely owned and maintained by applicant, which shall have sufficient parking/turning space within the red line without causing additional impact on Heathlands Road and Honey Hill.

However, you may consider conditioning a Parking Management Plan for HGV movements if applicable. I can draft the suggested wordings of the PMP if it's needed.

Kind regards,
Jennifer Pang
Highways Development Control



Jennifer Pang

To  Kieran Neumann



Reply



Reply All



Forward



Tue 22/07/2025 10:43



Private



This message is part of a tracked conversation. [Click here to find all related messages or to open the original flagged message.](#)

Hi Kieran,

Given: it is an existing site that has setback from the highway boundary, the existing access arrangement onto the public highway and the internal turning area will be fully retained, conditioning a Delivery Servicing Plan would be sufficient.

Kind regards,

Jennifer Pang

Highways Development Control