

MEMORANDUM

From:	Coralie Ramsey Trees and Landscape		
Service	WBC Landscape and Trees	App No:	251388
Address:	53 Wargrave Road, Twyford, Wokingham, RG10 9PD.		
Proposal:	Application for submission of details to comply with the following condition of planning consent 232900 dated 22.03.2024. Condition 4 relates to bat licence, 5 to landscaping, 6 to protection of trees.		
Type of Development:			
Site Visit Made:	Yes/No		

Summary Of Recommendations

- ☐ No comment
- ☒ No objection
- ☐ No objection subject to conditions (and reasons) **stated below**
- ☐ Request further information before determination as **stated below**
- ☒ Objection due to the reason(s) **stated below**

Comments On Proposal

Condition 5: landscaping

I refer to submission New tree planting 001 June 25. Four trees are to be removed, T7, T8, T9 (to be removed as part of the planning application) and T11. Removal of T7, T8 and T11 is contrary to the planning application. I assume G13, a group of cypress, has gone already. I do not object to the removal of these unprotected trees as they are C grade and the fruit trees are in a location which is not optimum for them considering the adjacent built form and other trees. Four fruit trees are proposed in a more suitable location. The Condition states that 'planting sizes' be given, but these are not provided. Working with the plan the nearest size tree would be T10, so the new trees would have a stem diameter at 1.5m above ground level of 260mm and be 6m tall. These are big fruit trees and means they would probably have to be sourced second hand, if any are available. This seems untenable. I would look to see trees being 1.5m in height when planted. If this were to be agreed, I could support the condition being discharged.

Condition 6: protection of trees

I refer to submissions and information BS5837:2012 Arboricultural Method Statement GH/MS/122560:24 13.5.25. The rooting area of the TPO tree is

protected. Removal of the 4 trees might allow the heras fencing to be moved back into the garden to allow greater access to the building works. I **do not** agree to moving the heras fencing without the impact on the TPO tree being analysed by the Arb first. Assuming the heras is to remain as specified on this submission, I find it acceptable and would not object to discharge of the condition.

Conditions & Reasons (if required)			
n/a			
Date:	18.6.25	Signed:	C Ramsey