

PLANNING REF : 252498  
PROPERTY ADDRESS : 9 Hyde End Road  
: Reading, Berks  
: RG2 9EP  
SUBMITTED BY : Miss Lynda Hope  
DATE SUBMITTED : 14/01/2026

COMMENTS:

Formal Objection - Planning Application 252498  
Loddon Garden Village - University of Reading  
I am writing to object to planning application 252498 concerning the proposed Loddon Garden Village development. My objection is based on material planning considerations, including flood risk, environmental impact, infrastructure capacity, and concerns regarding the prematurity of determining this application ahead of the emerging Local Plan.

1. Flood Risk and Drainage Concerns

The Lower Loddon Valley is widely recognised as highly flood sensitive. Recent Environment Agency (EA) alerts for Shinfield, Arborfield, Lower Earley and Sindlesham demonstrate the ongoing risk, with warnings such as: "Flooding of low lying land and roads " and "River levels remain high flooding is expected." These repeated alerts confirm sustained flood pressure in the area.

Council SFRA mapping also identifies significant areas within Flood Zones 2 and 3. Given this evidence, the drainage strategy and proposed SuDS measures must be considered inadequate and unreliable for a scheme of this scale.

2. Prematurity and Local Plan Concerns

The development is allocated under Policy SS13, which has not yet been approved. Planning Inspectors have raised serious concerns about the deliverability of the Local Plan, including unresolved issues around infrastructure, environmental mitigation, and site justification.

Determining an application of this magnitude before the plan is adopted would be premature and risks predetermining the Local Plan examination. National planning practice guidance makes clear that refusing an application is justified where granting permission would prejudge the plan making process, particularly for large strategic sites.

This proposal clearly meets those criteria:

- It is the largest allocation within the emerging Local Plan (2,800 homes).
- Its viability depends entirely on the soundness of SS13, including phasing, infrastructure delivery, and mitigation measures.

Approving the application now would undermine proper democratic planning processes.

3. Insufficient Infrastructure Capacity

Essential infrastructure required to support this development including bridges, roads, utilities, schools, and healthcare provision remains unfunded or uncertain. Existing networks are already under strain, and no credible evidence has been provided to demonstrate that this level of growth can be accommodated without significant adverse impacts.

4. Traffic and Transport Impacts

The proposed development would significantly increase congestion on key routes including the A327, Lower Earley Way, and M4 access points. Current infrastructure is already operating at capacity and cannot support the additional traffic volumes anticipated.

Mitigation measures proposed are insufficient and lack clarity on

delivery and effectiveness.

#### 5. Environmental and Biodiversity Impacts

The site contains sensitive habitats, and the development raises concerns regarding biodiversity loss, habitat fragmentation, and ecological disruption, particularly to the River Loddon corridor. Claims of achieving 20% biodiversity net gain appear unsubstantiated and reliant on assumptions rather than demonstrable outcomes.

#### 6. Impact on Local Character and Settlements

The scale and density of the proposal would fundamentally alter the character of nearby settlements and the wider landscape. Even the applicant's own submissions acknowledge impacts on heritage assets such as the St Bartholomew's church setting. The proposal does not adequately avoid or mitigate these effects.

---

#### Conclusion

For the reasons outlined above including demonstrable flood risk, significant environmental harm, insufficient infrastructure, substantial transport impacts, and the unresolved status of the Local Plan I respectfully request that planning application 252498 be refused.

The application is premature, unsound in its current form, and poses long term risks to the local environment, community, and infrastructure network.

- Impact of new bridges and major road links
- Pressure on schools, healthcare, and utilities
- Concerns about the scale (2,800 homes at once is large for the area)
- Whether the development meets WBC's biodiversity 20% target in reality, not only on paper

[wokingham.gov.uk]