

PLANNING REF : 261889
PROPERTY ADDRESS : 6
: 6 Cricket Hill, Finchampstead, Berkshire
: RG40 3TN
SUBMITTED BY : Mrs Jane Westwood
DATE SUBMITTED : 17/08/2026

COMMENTS:

Dear Sir / Madam,

I write to object to this proposal on both a legal basis and also on the personal effect this application will have on me and my property. There is a covenant, legally binding prohibiting the erecting of any building other than a bungalow on this site.

This is easily found on the land registry and I have bought a copy and shared the contents with the owners as they stated their solicitor could see a covenant but were unable to view its restrictions !

This was there and to be viewed prior to their completion and they could clearly see the restrictions held.

The covenant was put in place due to the impact a taller building has on number 6 and to protect it should such a request like this be made.

I am surprised that the new owners knowing this , have put in such a request and can only assume that they think a legally binding document does not apply to them.

They actually came to both myself and my adjoining neighbour last week to assure us that the plans were going in and not to worry as it was to remain a bungalow !

Without a visit to number 6 it is hard to see the negative impact , this being the reason for the restrictive covenant.

My property is not inline with the road Cricket Hill it sits back and it was clear and obvious to the owners who sold a section of this

garden that they needed some form of legally binding document to protect it from requests such as this.

I currently sit in my kitchen and see 6" off roofline of number 5a then sky , allowing light to pour in.

The proposal of heightening the roof line to support a second floor clearly goes against the covenant which is very clear in stating any building must be a bungalow.

The building whilst not looking close on the plans is in fact very close and will impose on my property hugely.

It will effect the light from all the back windows and my view. It is a concern that their architects and themselves in full knowledge of my covenant feel they can design what they want regardless of this legally binding covenant which is tied to the property not a named person.

They bought a bungalow in full knowledge that it has to remain a bungalow

I have no objection to works being done and the property's foot print is large enough to accommodate 4 bedrooms on its existing site

.

I have lived here for 30 years and this having a huge impact on my mental health and if agreed it goes against the law which cannot be

right and will have a negative impact on my property value .

Yours faithfully
Jane Westwood