

The Old Cottage

Pearson Road, Sonning, RG4 6UH

Heritage Statement & Design and Access Statement for Proposed Internal Alterations to West Wing

Document No: 1362-HR-A-HS-XX-02
Rev 02

Date: 29.07.2026



HERITAGE REVIVAL

info@heritagerevival.uk
+ 44 (0) 118 403 2885



CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
2.0	THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS	6
3.0	HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE	18
4.0	THE PROPOSED WORK AND ITS IMPACT UPON THE HERITAGE ASSETS	19
5.0	ACCESS STATEMENT	27
6.0	CONCLUSION	27
7.0	METHODOLOGY	27
8.0	REFERENCES	28
9.0	APPENDIX	
9.1	Soft strip process	29
9.2	Redacted Heritage Statement	30

Revision History

Rev 00	Issued 07.06.2026	FIRST ISSUE
Rev 01	Issued 27.07.2026	SECOND ISSUE
Rev 02	Issued 29.07.2026	FINAL ISSUE

This report is by Claire Truman RIBA Accredited Conservation Architect, IHBC Affiliate, of Heritage Revival, Reading.
The report is for the benefit of the Client only.

Claire Truman accepts no liability for use of this report by parties other than those for which it was prepared.

No replication of this document is permitted unless by express permission from the author. The author accepts no liability for use of this report by parties other than those for which it was prepared.

- 1.1 This Heritage Statement is submitted as part of a listed building application for the proposed internal refurbishment items at The Old Cottage, Pearson Road, Sonning. The document is written to assist greater understanding of the impact of the proposals upon the heritage significance of the heritage asset - the Grade II listed property and the Sonning Conservation Area.
- 1.2 Listed buildings and conservation areas are considered 'heritage assets' as defined by the National Planning Policy Framework and therefore merits consideration in planning decisions (2024).
- 1.3 This application follows a similar scope and approach to the internal alterations approved to the East Wing, application 232299, and additionally includes minor external alterations: the removal of the existing boiler flue and the installation of a new one; the replacement of the French doors to the rear elevation.
- 1.4 The submission draws upon the recent and relevant research carried out into the heritage significance of the property and environs by the applicant's Heritage Consultant Rob Workman of Lime and Listed. A redacted version of the Heritage Statement submitted for application 232299, which Rob Workman has provided to the applicant for their use as necessary to facilitate forthcoming applications associated to the refurbishment of this property, is referenced and included in the Appendix.

1.5 **Relevant Planning History for The Old Cottage, Pearson Road, Sonning:**

Application ref.	Summary	Date
260979	Application for submission of details to comply with Condition 4 (structural repairs method statement) of listed building consent 232278 dated 09/01/2024. (Granted).	16.06.2026
260838	The proposed demolition/removal of a single storey boiler house extension from the west elevation. (Granted).	03.06.2026
253038	Submission of details to comply with the following condition of planning consent (232278) dated 9/1/2024. Condition 4 relating to structural repairs safeguarding special architectural or historic interest. (Refused).	06.02.2026
232278	Application for Listed Building consent for proposed external alterations; conservation works including sensitive historic timber and masonry cleaning, cement and modern facing timber removal, structural repairs, installation of vapour-permeable wall insulation, lime rendering and breathable decoration to all elevations. (Granted).	09.01.2024

1.5	Application ref.	Summary	Date
(cntd)	240474	Application for Listed Building consent for the proposed roof work to the East Wing and replacement roof covering, breathable roofing membrane and insulation, structural work to roof timbers if required and new MVHR unit to guest bathroom. (Granted).	29.05.2024
	232299	Application for Listed Building consent for proposed internal alterations to East Wing and Utility Room; conservation works including sensitive historic timber and masonry cleaning, cement and modern plaster removal, structural repairs, installation of vapour permeable wall insulation, lime rendering and breathable decoration to all rooms. (Granted).	09.01.2024

1.6 Heritage Significance

- 1.6.1 Proposals relating to heritage assets are subject to the policies of the National Planning Policy Framework 2024 (NPPF). Such proposals require to be justified under this legislation with respect to potential effect on the heritage asset. Consideration is given to the impact upon a heritage asset's 'significance' and its 'setting'.
- 1.6.2 'Significance' is defined in the NPPF as:
"The value of a heritage asset to this and future generations because of its heritage interest. The interest may be archaeological, architectural, artistic or historic. Significance derives not only from a heritage asset's physical presence, but also from its setting..." (Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government, 2024, Annex 2: Glossary).
- 1.6.3 The 'setting' of a heritage asset is defined in the NPPF as:
'The surroundings in which a heritage asset is experienced. Its extent is not fixed and may change as the asset and its surroundings evolve. Elements of a setting may make a positive or negative contribution to the significance of an asset, may affect the ability to appreciate that significance or may be neutral.' (MHCLG, 2024, Annex 2: Glossary).
- 1.6.4 This Heritage Statement is written within the context of relevant best practice guidance:
- Historic England (2008). Conservation Principles Policies and Guidance.
- 1.6.5 The scope of the Heritage Statement is developed to be proportionate to the proposals in accordance to paragraph 207 of the NPPF which states, "The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets' importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance" (2024, para. 207).
- 1.6.6 This Heritage Statement identifies the heritage assets with potential to be affected by the proposals, it then describes the heritage assets' significance, and the document then analyses the potential impact of the proposals upon the significance of the heritage assets.

2.0 THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS

2.1 The heritage assets with the potential to be impacted by changes to The Old Cottage are as follows:

2.1.1 **Grade II listed, 'The Old Cottage'**

List Entry No.: 1117432

Date of listing: 26.01.1967

"The Old Cottage 26.1.67 GV II House. Early C15 hall house altered, 2 storeys, timber framed, painted brick infilling, old tile roof, central chimney inserted C17, and later chimney to east end. North front has gable to left, one bay of 4-light leaded windows, one irregular bay of 3-light leaded casements to right of gable, a small C20 single light and to right hand coupled 3-light leaded upper casements over a 3-light window on ground floor and C20 door in right corner. Large C20 extension to rear. Smaller gabled extension to east in painted brick. Interior:- most of timber frame visible including large roof purlins and wind braces heavily sooted. Large scissor brace truss in roof. Ground floor room right contains old carved heads and a carved panel, reputedly from the former Bishops Palace." (Historic England, 2026)

2.1.2 **The Sonning Conservation Area**

The property is within the 'Pearson Road' character area within the conservation area. The Conservation Area Appraisal highlights characteristics of this sub-area are:

- a number of age and style of properties are present in changing sizes of plots with diversity contributing to its 'charm'
- larger plots provide opportunities for glimpsed views between buildings which create a greater sense of openness
- Pearson Road contributes to a number of focal points within the conservation area




Image 01: Extract of the Conservation Area map showing focal points within the CA (WBC, 2017)

2.0 THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd.)

2.1.3 Listed buildings within the vicinity of The Old Cottage

These are summarised in the extract from Historic England's website below of the map of the immediate area (Historic England, 2026).



Image 02: Extract of map showing listed buildings in the vicinity of The Old Cottage (Historic England, 2026)

2.1.4 'Buildings of Traditional Local Character'

These are buildings considered “...important to the Borough’s heritage” (WBC, 2026). Those on Pearson Road within the scope of the map have been marked up with a red square on the Historic England map, above, namely:

- Clandon,
- Grove End
- Groveside
- Laundry Cottage

2.2 Photographs of the external scope



Image 03: View of North elevation along Pearson Road



Image 04: Rear (south) elevation

2.0 THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd.)

2.2 Photographs of the external scope (cntd) (cntd)



Image 05a: View of West gable

Rainwater downpipe proposed to be replaced with one single vertical length - intermediate hopper to be removed



Image 05b: Detail of existing boiler flue



Image 06: View of south elevation of west gable return

Rainwater pipe proposed to be removed

Proposed location for new boiler flue

Vent to be removed and glass to be replaced

Hopper and downpipes to be removed and glass to be removed



Image 07: View of south elevation of west gable return

2.0 THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd.)

2.2 Photographs of the external scope (cntd)
(cntd) Image 08a: View of single rear double doors



Image 08b: View of single rear double doors



2.0 THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd.)

2.2 Photographs of the external scope (cntd)
(cntd) Image 09a: View of single rear single door




Image 09b: Internal view of single rear door



The Old Cottage, Pearson Road, Sonning

2.3 The location of the external works

The existing boiler flue is within the Phase 1 construction (likely 15th century, possibly 14th century); the location for the proposed boiler flue is within the Phase 3 - early-mid C20. Phase 2 is shown in blue, dated by Workman to be 'likely 18th-19th century' construction.




Image 10: Extract from the Heritage Statement showing the Phases of construction colour coded (Workman, 2023)

(green shades)

Phase 3: likely early-mid C20th. Predominantly brick built with timber roof trusses. As previously outlined these additions do not appear to be on historic mapping until 1932. It is hard to date the fabric at present due to modern paint/coatings on brickwork, but generally they appear to be older brick but of course may have been built using reclaimed brick.

Image 11: Extract from the Heritage Statement summarising the key for the colour coded phased construction plan (Image 10) (Workman, 2023)

2.4 Photographs of the internal scope



Image 12a: Existing fireplace to Ground Floor (East Wing) with modern brickwork and lintel

Proposal to remove modern lintel and decorative vertical posts

Cut back the corbelled brick detail to both sides, and finish with lime mortar - refer to Image 12b, below



Image 13: Exposed internal timber construction to the Ground Floor West gable: proposal to remove all modern impervious paints and varnish to all historic timbers using soda blasting



Image 12b: Blue outline shows the corbelled modern bricks - supporting the modern mantelpiece - to be cut back prior to applying a lime plaster finish

2.0 THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd.)

2.4 Photographs of the internal scope (cntd) (cntd)



Image 14: Exposed internal timber construction to the Ground Floor West gable (typical): proposal to remove all modern impervious paints and varnish to all historic timbers using soda blasting



Image 15: Exposed internal timber construction to the First Floor West gable (typical): proposal to remove all modern impervious paints and varnish to all historic timbers using soda blasting

2.0 THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd.)

2.4 Photographs of the internal scope (cntd) (cntd)



Image 16: Exposed internal timber construction to the First Floor North elevation (typical); proposal to remove all modern impervious paints and varnish to all historic timbers using soda blasting



Image 17: Exposed internal timber construction to the First Floor Master Bedroom: proposal to remove all modern impervious paints and varnish to all historic timbers using soda blasting

2.0 THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd.)

2.4 Photographs of the internal scope (cntd) (cntd)



Image 18: Exposed brickwork to the First Floor Master Bedroom: proposed to infilled with lime plaster



Image 19: Example of area proposed for masonry cleaning

The Old Cottage, Pearson Road, Sonning

2.0 THE NATURE OF THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd.)

2.4 Photographs of the internal scope (cntd) (cntd)



Image 20: Example of wall condition where the proposal is to strip wallpaper where historic lime plaster exists and repair historic lime plaster on a like-for-like basis.



Image 21: Proposal to remove of cement and gypsum materials and replace with a vapour-permeable build-up, or split laths, as appropriate, ready for lime plastering.

3.1 Heritage Significance

3.1.1 The Heritage Statement has identified the following as being of heritage significance at The Old Cottage, and a review of the range of nearby heritage assets that has potential to be impacted by these proposed works has determined those identified below remain relevant:

The significance of The Old Cottage is currently masked by later modern coatings it can be summarised as follows based in the information known to date:

- The building is a good example of a 14th/15th century dwelling (exact date TBC following dendro/isotope dating), with multiple later additions to the east and south of the primary phase. It is likely heritage significance reduces to a degree as the phases progress.
- Historically the building was possibly two separate units/plots, now combined into one.
- The plan form of the more significant primary and secondary phases is still largely legible, some of the rooms have lost their historic proportions having been 'opened up' or have had the interconnection altered and/or infill panels removed in the past.
- Most rooms retain at least some of their architectural features although modern inappropriate finishes may be disguising earlier more significant features. (Plan form is significant in understanding how buildings were originally occupied so some significance has been lost by the opening up of room plans and alterations to interconnection which does lower significance).
- The building's architectural form expresses its history and the narrative of its development. However, there is more to understand following the removal of inappropriate materials.
- Changes over time, including the connection between the two buildings, opening up between rooms and the alterations to the windows are all responses to changes in the occupant's living standards and lifestyle. As such, they add to the narrative of how the building is now experienced.
- Other alterations during the 20th century, such as the garage, bathroom extension and some of the modern Crittal windows, are less successful and may be considered to contribute negatively to the significance of the listed building.
- It is highly likely the building incorporates locally distinct vernacular building materials under modern finishes and coatings. The primary timber framing including a scissor truss provides evidence of local materials and local craftsmanship, so it is of high significance. It also contributes to local distinctiveness and character.
- Examples of other skilled trades such as internal carpentry, joinery and ironmongery all add to the significance of the listed building although provenance of some mouldings and carvings in dining room is questionable and requires further assessment. Historic timber framing and finishes such as wattle and daub and lime plaster should be preserved where they survive.
- The house makes a positive contribution to the character of Sonning Conservation Area. Conversely, the immediate surroundings of the house contribute positively to the value and significance of The Old Cottage.

The building's architectural and historic significance is formally recognised in its statutory designation as a Grade II listed building.

3.1.2 Identified within this list above are alterations made during the C20 that are considered to contribute negatively to the significance of the listed property, and it is considered the boiler house indeed falls under this collective term in its negative contribution to the property.

4.0 THE PROPOSED WORK AND ITS IMPACT UPON THE HERITAGE ASSETS

- 4.1 Historic England and the NPPF offer guidance on how to approach the assessment of the impact of proposed works upon the significance of a heritage asset. In particular the following are considered relevant to The Old Cottage, the Sonning Conservation Area and the setting of those listed buildings and 'buildings of traditional local character' in the vicinity of The Site.
- 4.1.1 "When considering the impact of a proposed development on the significance of a designated heritage asset, great weight should be given to the asset's conservation (and the more important the asset, the greater the weight should be). This is irrespective of whether any potential harm amounts to substantial harm, total loss or less than substantial harm to its significance", (MHC&LG, 2024, para.212).
- 4.1.2 "Each generation should therefore shape and sustain the historic environment in ways that allow people to use, enjoy and benefit from it, without compromising the ability of future generations to do the same", (Conservation Principle 1.3, Historic England, 2008, p.19).
- 4.1.3 "Conservation involves people managing change to a significant place in its setting, in ways that will best sustain its heritage values, while recognising opportunities to reveal or reinforce those values for present and future generations", (Conservation Principle 4.2, Historic England, 2008, p.22).
- 4.1.4 With regards to the consideration of the effect of authenticity upon a heritage asset Historic England comment, "A desire to retain authenticity tends to suggest that any deliberate change to a significant place should be distinguishable, that is, its extent should be discernible through inspection. The degree of distinction that is appropriate must take account of the aesthetic values of the place", (2008, para. 93, p.45).

4.0 THE PROPOSED WORK AND ITS IMPACT UPON THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd)

- 4.2 Proposed internal and minor external alterations to West Wing to enable the building to dry out, progress structural repairs, conserve historic fabric in line with conservation best practice and Historic England's guidance as follows (note this reflects the same detail as that submitted and approved for the East Wing:)

Ground and First Floors

4.2.1 01. Timber Cleaning

Removal of modern impervious paint and varnish to all historic timbers using the soft strip process - refer to Appendix 9.1 as per approval under application 240507.

4.2.2 02. Modern Paint Removal

Removal of modern impervious paint to all historic masonry and historic plaster using a paste removal method.

4.2.3 03. Wallpaper Stripping

Strip modern wallpaper where historic lime plaster exists and repair historic lime plaster on like for like basis.

4.2.4 04. Removal of Cement and Gypsum Materials

Removal of any modern cement pointing and cement/gypsum plaster to all historic masonry. Carefully remove any modern plasterboard/gypsum skim and replace with vapour permeable board/split laths as required ready for lime plastering.

4.2.5 05. Lime Plaster

Retain all historic lime plaster where possible, repairs as required with non-hydraulic lime plaster like for like.

Lime plaster all new vapour permeable backgrounds.

4.2.6 06. Decoration

All lime plaster finishes to walls and ceilings to be decorated using limewash, distemper or vapour permeable paints.

4.2.7 07. Joinery

Carefully remove modern impervious paint or varnish, and repaint or leave unpainted and apply natural beeswax.

4.0 THE PROPOSED WORK AND ITS IMPACT UPON THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd)

Ground Floor Only

4.2.8 08. Fireplace modifications

Remove the modern mantel and brick detail and finish with lime plaster (refer to Images 12a and b).

External Works Only

4.2.9 09. Replacement rear patio doors

Replace modern external rear metal framed doors with timber doors.

4.2.10 10. Remove the existing boiler and external flue, install a new boiler and flue.

Remove the flue from the West gable end and install new boiler and flue to the West elevation of the Phase 3 construction (early to mid-C20).

4.2.11 11. Reduce lengths of rainwater pipes to West elevation

The removal of rainwater from the West gable current takes a convoluted route across the West elevation at First Floor level, around to the return rear elevation of the Phase 3 construction, previously diverted over what had been the 'boiler house' - since removed under permission 260838. It is proposed to replace the black plastic downpipes and associated hoppers (refer to Images 5a and b, 6 and 7) with aluminium. The rainwater pipes would be removed where redundant.

4.3 **Impact of proposals**

Note: this follows the same details and methods as that submitted and approved for the East Wing.

Ground and First Floors

4.3.1 01. Timber Cleaning

Removal of modern impervious paint and varnish to all historic timbers using chemical poultice and sodium bicarbonate - as successfully achieved to the East Wing using the 'soft strip process' approved under application 240507 (the discharge of Condition 3 for listed building application 232299 for internal works to the East wing).

Exposed timbers on the first floor are generally painted with impervious black paint whilst the ground floor timbers are either stained and/or varnished. The finishes are very disfiguring and potentially harmful to the historic timbers over the longer term as these coatings used in the past lack suitable vapour permeability which can lead to timber decay as has been evidenced by the heritage surveyor and engineer in relation to the timbers.

Impervious bituminous black paints used historically, and modern acrylic or alkyd resin-based paints/varnishes in recent past are now understood to trap excessive moisture. It is not considered appropriate to leave such modern coatings in situ due to the risk of raised humidity within the timber and potential wood boring insect attack.

4.0 THE PROPOSED WORK AND ITS IMPACT UPON THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd)

4.3.1 In this case the coatings are likely a mid-late C20th century aesthetic and hold low significance in (cntd) heritage terms. Therefore, it is proposed the internal historic timbers are sensitively cleaned following paint removal and either left untreated or a natural breathable beeswax applied.

Removal of the paint will also better reveal the characteristics of the wood, any carpenters' marks, witchcraft marks, the tool marks of those who converted them, will allow the woodwork to be repaired and breathe more readily which all helps to secure its long-term conservation.

The cleaning of historic timbers has a positive heritage impact in this case. It is considered these proposals meet conservation best practice, Historic England guidance and accord with local and national heritage policies to preserve and enhance the buildings character and special interest.

4.3.2 02. Modern Paint Removal

Removal of modern water-based paint to all masonry and plaster using the paste removal product 'Peelaway®7'

Until the modern paint is cleaned from the existing masonry and plaster, we cannot be sure of the significance of the underlying fabric in the West wing. Inspection and paint scrapes onsite indicate the majority of ground floor appears to have had cementitious tanking, gypsum plaster and modern impervious paints applied to the masonry. The First Floor rooms (with exception of bathroom) and landing appear to retain much of the historic lime plaster to walls and ceilings albeit covered with modern wallpaper which will be removed to enable repairs and redecoration.

'Peelaway®7' would be applied by spatula, or brush over the surface to be stripped, and covered with a poultice blanket for a period of time to enable the paste to dissolve the paint. The poultice blanket would contain any toxic particles from old paint preventing them becoming airborne.

The paste does not contain flammable solvents making it safe for indoor use. This is a gentle method which will preserve the lime plaster in-situ.

The removal of impervious modern paint to the historic masonry and lime plaster would have a positive impact to the heritage significance of the property. The above proposals meet conservation best practice, Historic England guidance and accord with local and national heritage policies to preserve and enhance the buildings character and special interest.

4.3.3 03. Wallpaper Stripping

Removal of all wallpaper in the West wing is proposed to enable inspection of underlying fabric.

It is not considered this proposal requires listed building consent in itself but has been included for the record of works being undertaken to conserve the building. The wallpaper is likely to have conserved historic plaster underneath and removal is proposed to assess underlying condition and so

4.0 THE PROPOSED WORK AND ITS IMPACT UPON THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd)

4.3.3 lime plaster repairs can be progressed on a like for like basis.

(cntd)

As such the removal of modern wallpaper has a positive heritage impact in this case enabling further inspection and understanding of the building's significant fabric. It is considered the above proposals meet conservation best practice, Historic England guidance and accord with local and national heritage policies to preserve and enhance the buildings character and special interest.

4.3.4 04. Removal of Cement and Gypsum Materials

Removal of any modern cement pointing and cement/gypsum plaster to all historic masonry. Carefully remove any modern plasterboard/gypsum skim and replace with vapour permeable board/split laths as required ready for lime plastering.

Once the existing modern paint, varnish and wallpaper coatings are removed from the fabric it is expected areas of modern fabric; impervious cement pointing, cement/gypsum plaster and plaster board will be found predominantly on the Ground Floor. It is proposed these materials are removed to enable the building to dry out, restore vapour permeability and enable further repair works to progress.

The removal of the modern impervious fabric will enable a better understanding of the building's heritage significance, provide evidence on how the building was historically presented and allows the underlying historic fabric to be repaired and breathe more readily which helps to secure its long-term conservation.

As such, the proposed works will have a positive heritage impact. It is considered the above proposals meet conservation best practice, Historic England guidance and accord with local and national heritage policies to preserve and enhance the buildings character and special interest.

4.3.5 05. Lime Plaster

Retain all historic lime plaster where possible, repair as required with non-hydraulic lime plaster like for like. This includes the repairing the lime plaster to the brick infill in the Master Bedroom - refer to Image 19.

Following repair work it is proposed all historic lime plaster be repaired with like for like materials and all new backgrounds/repair work is lime plastered prior to decoration. The lime plaster will be hot mixed or non-hydraulic limes to ensure maximum breathability.

It is considered the above proposals meet conservation best practice, Historic England guidance and accord with local and national heritage policies to preserve and enhance the buildings character and special interest.

4.0 THE PROPOSED WORK AND ITS IMPACT UPON THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd)

4.3.6 06. Decoration

All lime plaster finishes to walls and ceilings to be decorated using limewash, distemper or vapour permeable paints.

Given the impermeable paint coatings historically applied, the scale of harm these have imposed on the fabric, the heritage significance and the level of repair work now required it is now proposed highly vapour permeable/capillary open traditional lime wash, distemper paint or highly vapour permeable paint is used for internal walls and ceilings.

This will restore how the building was historically presented and allows the underlying historic, newly repaired and upgraded fabric to breath more readily than modern impervious paints which will secure its long-term conservation.

As such decoration with highly vapour permeable limewash and paints will secure the building's historic fabric and has a positive heritage impact.

It is considered the above proposals meet conservation best practice, Historic England guidance and accord with local and national heritage policies to preserve and enhance the buildings character and special interest.

4.3.7 07. Joinery

Carefully remove modern impervious paint or varnish and repaint or leave unpainted and apply natural beeswax, as per the 'soft strip process' approved under application 240507 (the discharge of Condition 3 for listed building application 232299 for internal works to the East wing) - refer to Appendix.

Internally windows and doors have been decorated with modern impervious paints or varnishes these will be sensitively removed so that repairs can be made. Joinery will then be repainted with vapour permeable paint or left untreated/natural beeswax finished as required.

As such repairing and repainting the historic joinery has a positive heritage impact by retaining historic carpentry. It is considered the above proposals meet conservation best practice, Historic England guidance and accord with local and national heritage policies to preserve and enhance the buildings character and special interest.

4.0 THE PROPOSED WORK AND ITS IMPACT UPON THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd)

4.3.8 Ground Floor Only

08. Fireplace modifications

The fireplace to the Living Room (East wing) has been introduced in the late C20: the modern brick and cement mortar will trap excessive moisture in the historic fabric behind. The modern timber mantelpiece and associated posts are proposed to be removed, and the corbelled brickwork supporting the mantel piece (refer to Image 11b) proposed to be cut back to align the brickwork vertically. The fireplace brickwork opening shall be finished with lime mortar and painted with breathable paint.

4.3.9 External Works Only

09. Replacement rear patio doors

It is proposed to replace modern external rear metal framed doors with timber framed doors as the existing doors have not weathered well, have deteriorated significantly beyond reasonable repair and are inconsistent in material compared to the windows and doors throughout the property.

It is proposed to replace the single door and double doors with timber framed, single glazed units, with the paint colour in light green as agreed via email with Wokingham Borough Council 11.06.2026 -see sample below.

The replacement of these modern doors is not considered to harm the heritage significance of the property, they will improve the technical performance of the openings to resist moisture ingress which improves the longterm protection to the property from the elements.



Image 22: Colour sample for external joinery (proposed doors)

4.0 THE PROPOSED WORK AND ITS IMPACT UPON THE HERITAGE ASSETS (cntd)

4.3.10 10. Remove the external boiler flue and install new

Remove the flue from the West gable end and install new boiler and flue to the West elevation of the Phase 3 construction (early to mid-C20). Refer to drawings 1362-HR-A-TP-XX-0114 and 1362-HR-A-TP-XX-0234 Rev02. The flue will be white plastic.

The removal of the flue from the Phase 1 construction West gable is a positive enhancement to the heritage significance of the property and is supported.

4.3.11 11. Reduce lengths of rainwater pipes to West elevation

The removal of the boiler house and the boiler flue offers an opportunity to rationalise the convoluted rainwater pipes that stretch across the West elevation, and turn to the rear return elevation. The pipes and hoppers are currently of black plastic.

The replacement of the pipe that ran above the boiler house would create a single vertical drop, whose rainwater would be piped to the existing drainage system at ground level. Where new rainwater goods are proposed the replacement material would be aluminium, painted green as per Image 22, and as agreed with the Conservation Officer to the remainder of the house.

The replacement of the distracting lengths of plastic pipe from the Phase 1 construction West gable is a positive enhancement to the heritage significance of the property and is supported.

5.0 ACCESS STATEMENT

- 5.1 The proposal will not impact the existing access into and within the property.

6.0 CONCLUSION

- 6.1 The review of the proposed works has demonstrated to cause 'no harm' upon the heritage significance of the Grade II listed property, the conservation area or the setting of nearby listed buildings and those nearby 'Buildings of Traditional Local Character'.
- 6.2 The relocation of the boiler from the West gable (Phase 1 construction) removes visual clutter of the flue from the elevation to allow the timber frame to be better understood. house improves the ability to understand the evolution of the property more clearly.
- 6.3 The proposal creates the opportunity to rationalise the modern external pipework and rainwater goods to the West elevation which similarly removes visual clutter to better reveal the timber frame and elevational composition of the property. Fewer pipes reduce the probability of leaks which could damage the property.
- 6.4 The proposed changes to the patio doors would reverse the modern installations. The timber framed replacements would create a material harmony with the remaining windows and doors, and remove a source of cold air and moisture that causes local internal deterioration of the building fabric.
- 6.5 The internal changes would reverse modern interventions - the mantelpiece, impervious paints, wall-paper - to enable the property's character to be better revealed and restore the fabric closer to its 'hygroscopically open' characteristics for improved longevity.
- 6.6 The proposals are aligned with the gentle, restorative approach taken to the East Wing and will wholistically improve the longterm condition and longevity of the property.

7.0 METHODOLOGY

The following methods were used in gathering the information that informed this Heritage Statement and Impact Assessment:

1) Site visit

The Old Cottage was visited on the 02.03.2026 and recorded with photographs.

Historic England. (2008). *Conservation Principles Policy and Guidance: For the Sustainable Management of the Historic Environment*. [Online]. Available from: www.historicengland.org.uk. [Accessed: 31.03.2026].

Historic England. (2019). *2019 Conservation Area Appraisal, Designation and Management Second edition, Historic England Advice Note 1*. [Online]. Available from: <https://historicengland.org.uk/images-books/publications/conservation-area-appraisal-designation-management-advice-note-1/heag-268-conservation-area-appraisal-designation-management>. [Accessed: 31.03.2026].

Ministry of Housing, Communities & Local Government. (2024). *National Planning Policy Framework*. [Online]. Available from: www.assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/675abd214cbda57cacd3476e/NPPF-December-2024.pdf. [Accessed: 31.03.2026].

Wokingham Borough Council. (2017). *Sonning Conservation Area Appraisal 2017*. [Online]. Available from: www.wokingham.gov.uk/sites/wokingham/files/2023-06/Sonning%20Conservation%20Area%20%20Appraisal%202017%20%28Adopted%2019%20April%202017%29.pdf. [Accessed: 31.03.2026].

Websites

Historic England

www.historicengland.org.uk

9.1 Soft Strip Process

BEAMING RESTORATIONS LTD

PROCESS FOR CLEANING OLD BEAMS & STONWORK

1 Tatham farm cottages
Bishops Lydeard
Taunton
Somerset
TA4 3LP
Phone 07595 992216

beamingrestorations@live.co.uk
www.beamingrestorations.com

The process we use for cleaning old beams and stonework is called a “Soft Strip process.”

The system uses compressed air passed through four moisture removal units.
This removes all traces of moisture before entering our equipment allowing the use of several exceptionally fine Medias for different coating and applications.

Adjustable pressure range between 13 – 40 psi used with our range of Medias gives a gentle comprehensive cleaning process.

The process we use will cause no damage to adjacent surfaces / materials and will leave a clean and precise edge to the surface being cleaned.

Media Examples

Calcium Silicate –

A light-coloured calcium silicate synthetic mineral abrasive (0.15 – 0.2 mm) size grain,
Uses a small amount of abrasion to remove layer by layer of paint and other coatings from the surface to be cleaned.
We recommend this media for general paint removal from Oak, Elm and Pine timbers

Bicarbonate Soda –

Hardness approx. 3.0 Mohs
(50 – 400 Microns) size grain
A White coloured food grade soluble baking soda.
Has no abrasion properties and uses a splitting process to remove paint and other coatings from the surface to be cleaned.
We recommend this media for cleaning around windows or other fragile areas.

We use a micro diameter nozzle (3mm bore size) with our Medias to allow accurate and gentle cleaning of surfaces.

Technical data sheets & COSHH data available for all our media used are available.

As a company we are fully insured and operate within the guidelines of the environmental services regarding re cycling and disposal of the waste created by our process.

9.2 **Redacted Heritage Statement**

COMBINED DESIGN, ACCESS & HERITAGE STATEMENT

**THE OLD COTTAGE, PEARSON ROAD,
SONNING ON THAMES, BERKSHIRE, RG4 6UH.**



Proposed External Alterations; Conservation works including sensitive historic timber and masonry cleaning, cement and modern facing timber removal, structural repairs, installation of vapour permeable wall insulation, lime rendering and breathable decoration to all elevations.

Prepared by
Rob Workman BSc (Hons) PG Dip (Historic Conservation)
on 18th September 2023.

lime&listed™

Historic Building and Heritage Planning Consultants

2 Brook Street, Benson, Oxfordshire, OX10 6LQ

CONTENTS

1.0	BACKGROUND	p. 3-4
2.0	DESIGN AND PLANNING CONSTRAINTS	p. 5
3.0	DESIGNATED HERITAGE ASSETS	p. 6
4.0	HISTORICAL SOURCES	p. 7
5.0	HISTORIC MAPPING	p. 8
6.0	CONSTRUCTION PHASING	p. 9-10
7.0	SUMMARY OF HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE	p. 11
8.0	DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSED ALTERATIONS AND IMPACTS IMPOSED	p. 12
9.0	PHOTO GALLERY	p. 13-19
10.0	IMPACTS IMPOSED ON SIGNIFICANCE	p. 20-27
11.0	CONCLUSION	p. 28

About the Author

Rob Workman has worked in the built heritage construction sector since 2004 and founded Lime&Listed in 2008. Initially undertaking specialist conservation building work, extensive repair and alteration projects with traditional materials for domestic clients and most recently also providing historic building and heritage planning consultancy for homeowners and organisations.

Rob has extensive practical on site experience relating to the challenges involved with listed buildings, particularly with historic timber framed buildings. With an honours degree in engineering from Brunel University (2002) and a further Post Graduate Diploma from Oxford Brookes University in Historic Building Conservation (2008-10). Rob has also been employed as a Conservation and Design Officer at South Oxfordshire and Vale White Horse District (2017-2021), also consulted for Wokingham Borough Council (2019) as an external built heritage consultant and has gained valuable experience of heritage planning matters, legislation, local and national planning policies during this period to compliment his practical knowledge and experience.

Rob is now perfectly placed to advise on repairs and alterations to listed buildings from a heritage planning perspective but with practical understanding of what is actually feasible to undertake such projects. Rob is a keen supporter of [The SPAB Approach](#) to building conservation and has also helped set up the Heritage Skills Centre for National Trust at The Coleshill Estate in West Oxfordshire and is currently a volunteer member of the Steering Group and is regularly involved in running practical conservation skills course and training days there.

1.0 BACKGROUND

This heritage statement has been compiled by Rob Workman BSc (Hons), PGDip (Hist Cons) of Lime&Listed Historic Building and Heritage Planning Consultants on behalf of Naomi Dwight, the applicant to support this application for;

Proposed External Alterations; Conservation works including sensitive historic timber and masonry cleaning, cement and modern facing timber removal, structural repairs, installation of vapour permeable wall insulation, lime rendering and breathable decoration to all elevations.

The purpose of the heritage statement is twofold. Firstly, to assess the architectural and historic significance of The Old Cottage, and then to consider the heritage impacts of the proposed alterations on the significance identified. This document is intended to assist the owners and the local planning authority in making informed decisions about the proposed alterations.

The heritage statement is written to comply with paragraph 194 of the National Planning Policy Framework 2021 (NPPF) which require applicants to describe the significance of any heritage assets affected by proposed alterations, including any contribution made by their setting, stating that *“The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets’ importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance”*.

Such an approach is also identified as best practice in Historic England’s *‘Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning Note 2 – Managing Significance in Decision – Taking in the Historic Environment’* (March 2015).

The Old Cottage is a significant Grade II Listed building located on Pearson Road situated within the heart of the Sonning Conservation Area and the setting of numerous nearby listed buildings.

Mr and Mrs Dwight have lived in the property for the last year and have taken their time to fully understand the building throughout the seasons, its significance as a designated heritage asset and most importantly the current condition of the historic fabric. They have sought specialist advice from two structural engineers including conservation specialist David Evans (SPAB Scholar), a specialist conservation surveyor (Joe Hotson) and an eminent dendrochronologist (Dr Andy Moir) who have all visited the property within the last 12 months to inspect the fabric, provide advice and recommendations.

Alongside this statement please review the supporting surveyor and engineer inspection reports, their recommendations, the existing and proposed elevations which also outline the sensitive conservation works proposed to the external elevations.

The supporting survey reports highlight the structural, damp and timber decay issues throughout the building inevitably caused by the liberal application of impervious modern cement renders, gypsum plaster and plastic paint coatings that have been applied over the last 50-75 years. These impervious materials have trapped excessive dampness, both internally and externally, which now needs to be carefully removed to enable the fabric to dry out, to allow inspection and repairs to progress.

It cannot be understated how harmful this past approach to building maintenance and repair at The Old Cottage has been, not only to the building’s historic fabric, but its heritage significance as further sections of historic timber and masonry will inevitably be lost to enable conservation repairs to the significant timber framing and surrounding fabric.

Dr Andy Moir has suggested the primary phase in the west wing, originally an open hall, may have a slightly earlier construction date than early 15th century stated in the official listing, this ties in with the Pevsner account. The smoke blackened roof rafters, braces and scissor truss are a rare survival and as such hold very high significance in built heritage terms.

Initial sampling for dendro-dating has unfortunately been unable to get an accurate date but further timber samples have now been submitted for isotope analysis which will hopefully provide an accurate date for the structural timbers in the next few weeks. We await his report and will update the Conservation Officer at the earliest opportunity and whilst listed building consent applications are ongoing.

The historic timber framing in the west gable wall is in a terrible state of degradation as highlighted in David Evans report, the true extent will not be known until further opening up, outlined in this initial application, has been approved by the Conservation Officer and so further inspections can take place.

It is highly likely specialist timber scarf and face repairs will be required to the structural timber framing with well-seasoned oak sections, alongside appropriate lime mortar repairs to masonry. Vapour permeable building materials and craft skills will be utilised in line with conservation best practice and Historic England guidance are to be adopted to resolve the current issues the building faces. There is no doubt this will be an extensive conservation project that will be implemented over a number of years as the owner's budget and planning consents allow.

The inserted ceiling in bedroom 1 of west wing (a later addition to the hall house) had failed before the applicant took possession of the property. David Evans inspected in January 2023 following temporary propping having been installed to enable further investigations. Wokingham Borough Council were informed of this structural issue earlier this year as it was considered urgent work may be required. However, with temporary support in place enabling further investigations, this has enabled the applicant to consider how best to approach the project and develop a holistic approach to resolving all the building's problems.

The defective beam and ceiling in bedroom 1 will be dealt with in due course, via a later application for listed building consent covering internal repairs in the west wing. However, it is considered structural repairs to the adjacent west gable wall are required in advance as it is this wall the bedroom ceiling beam is connected to and is of higher significance being earlier primary fabric than the inserted ceiling. Bat surveys are also being undertaken for any work to the roof and roof voids.

Alongside works outlined in this initial application for the external elevations the applicant would like to focus their attention on making the inside of the east wing more habitable in the shorter term as less intrusive work is required here than in the west wing and also so the more extensive structural works to the west wing can be fully investigated and progressed. In tandem to the above the applicant would also like to tackle an extensive overhaul to the roof coverings early next year, listed building consent/householder planning applications to cover these proposals will be forthcoming over the coming months once all bat surveys have been completed by the consulting ecologist.

The intention is to safeguard the remaining historic fabric and significance alongside the buildings special interest for future generations to enjoy.

This statement is based on cartographic, documentary and photographic evidence but primarily on a detailed inspection of the property and its setting onsite and we would encourage the Conservation Officer to review the proposals onsite. It also draws on the Sonning Conservation Area Appraisal, 2017.

It should be read in conjunction with the application drawings and any other supporting documentation.

2.0 DESIGN AND PLANNING CONSTRAINTS

The proposals predominantly look to conserve and repair, thermally upgrade and safeguard the existing historic fabric in a sensitive manner in line with conservation best practice and Historic England guidance.

We consider the works outlined in this application only require listed building consent as no 'development' is proposed and so a householder planning application has not been submitted.

Please do let the agent know at the earliest opportunity if this is incorrect and so an application for householder planning can also be made.

ACCESS

Access and parking arrangements are unaltered by the proposals and therefore there are no Highways impacts.

TREES

There are no mature trees within 25m of property. No trees will be impacted by the works outlined in these proposals.

ECOLOGY

No protected species will be impacted by the works outlined in this application.

NB. No roof work is proposed on this initial application for listed building consent. Subsequent applications will likely involve upgrades to the roof/roof voids which may require bat mitigation works. We await completion of all bat surveys for confirmation and to support future applications for works to the roof and roof spaces.

3.0 DESIGNATED HERITAGE ASSETS

The conservation works proposed directly impact the following designated heritage asset including its setting:

THE OLD COTTAGE, PEARSON ROAD

<https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/list-entry/1117432?section=official-list-entry>

Grade II Listed, designated 26th January 1967.

Description:

14/29 The Old Cottage 26.1.67 GV II House. Early C15 hall house altered, 2 storeys, timber framed, painted brick infilling, old tile roof, central chimney inserted C17, and later chimney to east end. North front has gable to left, one bay of 4-light leaded windows, one irregular bay of 3-light leaded casements to right of gable, a small C20 single light and to right hand coupled 3-light leaded upper casements over a 3-light window on ground floor and C20 door in right corner. Large C20 extension to rear. Smaller gabled extension to east in painted brick. Interior: - most of timber frame visible including large roof purlins and wind braces heavily sooted. Large scissor brace truss in roof. Ground floor room right contains old carved heads and a carved panel, reputedly from the former Bishops Palace.

The setting of the following designated heritage assets may also be impacted;

THE DOWER HOUSE, PEARSON ROAD

<https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/list-entry/1117431?section=official-list-entry>

GLENDALE, PEARSON ROAD

<https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/list-entry/1319456?section=official-list-entry>

FORGE COTTAGE, PEARSON ROAD

<https://historicengland.org.uk/listing/the-list/list-entry/1135905?section=official-list-entry>

The proposals may also be considered to impact the character and appearance of the SONNING CONSERVATION AREA, designated in 1971.

4.0 HISTORICAL SOURCES

The Sonning Conservation Area Appraisal gives an extensive description on the Historical Development and Spatial Analysis of Sonning as a settlement. However, in the context of The Old Cottage the following information is known in addition to that provided in the list description.

Sir Nikolaus Pevsner describes as follows;

"THE OLD COTTAGE, a C14 or C15 hall house, with scissor-bracing and a gabled cross wing."

Source: *The Building of England, Berkshire* – 1966.



The Old Cottage, late 19th century, Source: *The Book of Sonning* by Angela Perkins, 1977

The above photo shows the majority of the front elevation. From this we can clearly see past alterations to the front boundary giving way to the driveway and garage addition to the east. All of the window frames appear to be a light colour (externally) or a broken white as brilliant white was not commonly used until post 1920 following the advent of titanium oxide. The ground floor windows also appear to have shutters now lost and the east wing is shown without the applied facing timbers to the first floor.

The book of Sonning by Angela Perkins also documents a fine C18th shoe that was discovered at The Old Cottage which is now at the Victoria & Albert Museum in London.

5.0 HISTORIC MAPPING



Source: National Library of Scotland, Extract from historic OS Map (1875) – The Old Cottage highlighted in red

The extract above from the 1875 OS map shows The Old Cottage which appears to be split into two distinct units/sites at that time and without the current rear additions to the south elevation. There is very little change in the 1911 and 1932 edition OS maps except the loss of some smaller attached and detached out buildings. The 1932 mapping shown below appears to show the two units as one with the centre boundary treatment removed and still no additions to the rear. Interestingly the 1932 historic map on page 11 of the Sonning Conservation Area Appraisal (unsourced) shows the kitchen and utility room additions to the rear which may indicate their addition at this point?





Source: National Library of Scotland, Extract from historic OS Map (1932) – The Old Cottage highlighted in red

6.0 CONSTRUCTION PHASING

At present we have limited information on the construction phasing at The Old Cottage. However, based on the historic mapping, inspection of the measured survey and architectural features onsite the current layout would accord with the property having been two separate cottages as indicated by the historic OS mapping and given the awkwardly low access door between bedrooms 2 and 3. Albeit the property may have been one unit without interconnection at first floor when the eastern wing (Phase 2) was initially built.

It is hoped further inspection following the removal of inappropriate modern finishes on the building as outlined in this application for LBC will help inform a better understanding of the building construction, its phasing and in turn help us gather an enhanced understanding of its heritage significance.



(red shades)

Phase 1: likely C15th (possible C14th). Predominantly timber framed with smoke blackened and scissor truss roof. The rear 'living area' ground floor element originally with a cat-slide roof may be a slightly later addition or contemporary to this original phase. The dressing room element on first floor is a later phase of historic alteration which likely removed the earlier cat slide roof some of which remains above the staircase. The central chimney stack, floors and ceilings would appear to be later insertion to the primary phase. The primary timber framing to the ground floor in front elevation has been lost and under built in brick, some of which appears to be C20th rebuild. It is possible wattle and daub infill panels may still exist within the primary phase but the majority do appear to have been rebuilt in brick.

(blue shades)

Phase 2: likely C18-19th. Predominantly brick built with timber roof truss exposed to front. The stair/bathroom addition is likely to be later still especially at first floor maybe even C20th, further investigation is required. There appears to be a change in direction of ceiling joists to ground floor at the rear of sitting room which likely indicates this rear section being a later phase or distinctly separate rooms if contemporary to east wing now opened up. There also appears to have been interconnection between bedrooms 3 and 4 historically which is likely to indicate the east stair/bathroom element is indeed later still.

(green shades)

Phase 3: likely early-mid C20th. Predominantly brick built with timber roof trusses. As previously outlined these additions do not appear to be on historic mapping until 1932. It is hard to date the fabric at present due to modern paint/coatings on brickwork, but generally they appear to be older brick but of course may have been built using reclaimed brick.

(yellow)

Phase 4: C20th. Predominantly brick built with timber roof trusses. Addition of west bathroom to first floor removing original roof line above kitchen that still exists above utility room, constructed using modern engineering bricks typical of 1950-70s used in the construction this may have been added prior to listing in 1967 or just after.

There are likely more than four main phases of construction at The Old Cottage, including numerous sub phases within each main phase, but we cannot put precise dates on some elements within each phase at present due to the inappropriate modern finishes that have been applied masking the underlying fabric and architectural detail.

As outlined earlier we are currently waiting on dendro-dating/isotope investigations on historic timbers to confirm the felling date of structural timbers in the primary and secondary phases. We hope more accurate dating for later phases can be confirmed by the removal of inappropriate modern render, modern facing timbers and/or modern paint coatings outlined in this initial application for listed building consent, all of which give a false narrative and understanding at present.

The existing windows in primary phase all appear to be later insertion. However, earlier windows or evidence of original windows constructed within the primary historic timber framing may exist under later alterations and finishes.

It is considered elements of phasing and dates will evolve as the project progresses and this heritage statement and the colour phased plans within are considered to be a dynamic document that will be updated as we undertake opening up work, further inspections and sensitive conservation repair works progress which will also help inform subsequent applications for listed building consent.

7.0 SUMMARY OF HERITAGE SIGNIFICANCE

Assessing 'significance' is the means by which the cultural value of a place and its component parts is identified. The purpose in so doing is as the basis for effective conservation and management, because identifying aspects of higher and lower significance, based on thorough understanding of a heritage asset, enables proposals to be developed which protect, respect and enhance its character and cultural values. Such assessment can identify those areas where minimal changes should be made as well as areas where change might be positive and enhance understanding or appreciation of significance. Changes can then be designed to ensure that significant features are not compromised and that alterations conform to legislative and policy requirements.

The significance of The Old Cottage is currently masked by later modern coatings it can be summarised as follows based in the information known to date:

- The building is a good example of a 14th/15th century dwelling (exact date TBC following dendro/isotope dating), with multiple later additions to the east and south of the primary phase. It is likely heritage significance reduces to a degree as the phases progress.
- Historically the building was possibly two separate units/plots, now combined into one.
- The plan form of the more significant primary and secondary phases is still largely legible, some of the rooms have lost their historic proportions having been 'opened up' or have had the interconnection altered and/or infill panels removed in the past.
- Most rooms retain at least some of their architectural features although modern inappropriate finishes may be disguising earlier more significant features. (Plan form is significant in understanding how buildings were originally occupied so some significance has been lost by the opening up of room plans and alterations to interconnection which does lower significance).
- The building's architectural form expresses its history and the narrative of its development. However, there is more to understand following the removal of inappropriate materials.
- Changes over time, including the connection between the two buildings, opening up between rooms and the alterations to the windows are all responses to changes in the occupant's living standards and lifestyle. As such, they add to the narrative of how the building is now experienced.
- Other alterations during the 20th century, such as the garage, bathroom extension and some of the modern Crittal windows, are less successful and may be considered to contribute negatively to the significance of the listed building.
- It is highly likely the building incorporates locally distinct vernacular building materials under modern finishes and coatings. The primary timber framing including a scissor truss provides evidence of local materials and local craftsmanship, so it is of high significance. It also contributes to local distinctiveness and character.
- Examples of other skilled trades such as internal carpentry, joinery and ironmongery all add to the significance of the listed building although provenance of some mouldings and carvings in dining room is questionable and requires further assessment. Historic timber framing and finishes such as wattle and daub and lime plaster should be preserved where they survive.
- The house makes a positive contribution to the character of Sonning Conservation Area. Conversely, the immediate surroundings of the house contribute positively to the value and significance of The Old Cottage.

The building's architectural and historic significance is formally recognised in its statutory designation as a Grade II listed building.

