



Ref Specification of works, reasons for works based on arboricultural advice from Nathan Cantell

Specification

T.1 Acacia (C)

Section fell in safe and controlled manner to just above ground level.

Treat stump to deter regeneration

T.2 Western Redbud (G)

Fell to just above ground level in safe and controlled manner.

Treat stump to deter regeneration

T.3 Liquidamber (G)

Fell to just above ground level in safe and controlled manner.

Treat stump to deter regeneration

Reasons for the proposed works are listed and numbered below

1. These trees are not old enough to have been present in 1951 when the TPO was served
2. The trees in the rear are becoming too big for the area and have started to decline
3. The area has plenty of tree cover and therefore any loss of visual amenity will be minimal.
4. The TPO put in place before the houses were built and it is clearer that it is no longer a woodland, but a residential area. We don't see it as unreasonable for the owner to manage/remove their small trees, given the small garden areas and potential size of the trees. W3 is described as an area of mixed woodland - and we feel that these smaller ornamental trees fall outside of this.
5. T3 is an ornamental tree that was planted by the previous owner. The new owner would like to remove this tree to create more space for appropriate planting

Summary

The proposed works will make significant difference to Clients use and enjoyment of their garden and will help to prevent future conflicts between the trees and the property.

The reasons 1.-5. are all credible reasons, to justify the works, in their own right and all far out way the any loss of any visual amenity.