



Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

PRELIMINARY ECOLOGICAL APPRAISAL (DBW) AT 195 VICTORIA ROAD WARGRAVE



**Prepared for:
Mr T Platt
195 Victoria Road
Wargrave
Reading
RG10 8AH**

23 April 2025

Ref: SPH/NP/PEA-25/15.04



Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

Bramley House
Newnham Bridge
Tenbury Wells
Worcestershire
WR15 8NX
Tel: 0118 976 2902
Email: info@batsurveys-uk.com

CONTENTS

Executive Summary

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Instruction
- 1.2 Aims and Objectives
- 1.3 Proposed works
- 1.4 Surveyor Background and Experience

2. Legislation and Planning Policy

- 2.1 Legislative Background

3. Site Location and Description

- 3.1 Site Location
- 3.2 Site Description

4. Survey Methodology

- 4.1 Pre-Survey Data Search
- 4.2 Daylight Survey
- 4.3 Constraints

5. Survey Findings

- 5.1 External Inspection
- 5.2 Internal Inspection

6. Evaluation

7. Conclusions

- 7.1 Interpretation
- 7.2 Contingency plan

8. References

9. Queries

Appendix 1

©2025 Urban Tree Experts

All rights in this report are reserved. No part of it may be reproduced, edited or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without our written permission. Its content and format are for the exclusive use of Mr T Platt and his agents in dealing with this site. It may not be sold, lent, hired out or divulged to any third party not directly involved in this site without the written consent of Urban Tree Experts. It may be reproduced as part of a planning application.

This report is valid for 12 months from the site inspection. The lifespan of this report may be subject to change if the site conditions change due to unspecified works that affect the site.



Executive Summary

Urban Tree Experts was commissioned by Mr T Platt to conduct a preliminary ecological appraisal (daytime bat walkover [DBW]), of 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave, Reading, RG10 8AH. This is to support a forthcoming planning application to Wokingham Borough Council.

The site visit was carried out on Tuesday 15 April 2025 at 10.10am, during daylight hours. An internal and external inspection of the property took place to look for signs of bats.

The preliminary ecological appraisal consists of a desk top study prior to the survey to review existing information about the site and its surroundings and to inform the design of subsequent bat surveys, if required. The desk top study was conducted based upon a minimum 2km search radius and it revealed that no statutory designates sites are located within, and one current European Protected Species Licence (EPSLs) for bats has been granted within 2km of the proposed development site. The immediate surrounding habitat is unsuitable for roosting, commuting or foraging bats, due to the urban development however, via the garden network this is ecological connectivity to the wider landscape that does provide good roosting, foraging and commuting habitat for a wide range of bat species.

The DBW comprised a detailed search of the interior and exterior of the building for bats, signs of bats and features suitable for use by roosting bats. This includes droppings, scratch marks, rubbing and staining at exit holes, live or dead bats and other features such as missing tiles, this list is not exhaustive.

The buildings suitability to support roosting bats was assessed and no bats or evidence of bats were detected, and no potential roost features were identified during the preliminary inspection. When the evidence is combined along with the data from the desk top study this results in the property being characterised as having negligible potential¹ to support roosting bats.

In line with best practice guidelines², no further survey effort is required.

¹ Table 4.1 Guidelines for assessing the potential suitability of proposed development sites for bats. Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists Good Practice Guidelines 4th Edition.

² Collins, J. (ed) (2023) Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists Good Practice Guidelines (4th Edition).



Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

1. Introduction

1.1 Instruction

Urban Tree Experts were instructed by Mr T Platt to conduct a DBW of 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave, Reading, RG10 8AH to support a forthcoming planning application to Wokingham Borough Council.

1.2 Aims and Objectives

The DBW is designed to:

- Identify the presence/likely absence of bats within the building.
- Provide information on previous bat ecological surveys/reports.
- Provide information on the status of bats using the building currently or previously).
- To add confidence where no bats are found, or to categorise the nature of a roost where evidence of bats are found.
- To establish whether further surveys, mitigation or an EPSL is required.

The preliminary ecological appraisal (bats) and report writing were carried out in accordance with Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists Good Practice Guidelines 4th edition.

1.3 Proposed Works

The survey was commissioned in connection with a forthcoming planning application to Wokingham Borough Council for “Householder application for the proposed erection of a two storey rear extension, front porch and installation of roof lights and dormer window (PART – RETROSPECTIVE)”.

1.4 Surveyor Background and Experience

The preliminary ecological appraisal for bats was completed by Nick Powell and the report was written by Simon Holmes MSc. CEnv.

Nick holds a Class 2 Bat License (CLS-11742) and has been surveying bats for 3 years with various ecological consultancies and has received training in surveying techniques, bat detector use, bat biology, identification, acoustic monitoring, echolocation analysis and netting. Simon holds Class 3 (CL19) and 4 Bat (CL20) Licenses (Nos. 17637 and 17638) and a Science and Education license (SCI64844). He has 35 years’ experience of carrying out bat surveys and bat conservation work.

2. Legislation and Planning Policy

2.1 Legislative Background

All species of British bat are protected under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981, as amended. Under this legislation it is an offence to kill or injure a bat or interfere with any roosting or resting site. A bat roost is interpreted as “*any structure or place used for shelter or protection*” whether or not bats are present at the time. A summary of the main legislation and planning considerations are included at Appendix 1.



Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

Seven species of bat are also Species of Principal Importance for nature conservation in England under Section 41 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006. This places a duty on all government departments to have regard for the conservation of these species and on the Secretary of State to further, or promote others to further, the conservation of these species.

3. Site Location and Description

3.1 Site Location

The building is located at Grid Reference SU79587891, see Figure 1 below. An overview of the immediate area is shown on Figure 2, courtesy of Promap.

Figure 1. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave, highlighted.



Figure 2. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave, overview of the immediate area.





Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

3.2 Site Description

The application site comprises a detached, brick-built bungalow with block paved driveway leading to an attached garage. The remainder of the site comprises a wraparound garden, the majority of which is laid to lawn with patio area, shrubs, mature hedging and trees around the boundaries, see Figure 3 below. The site itself offers good foraging and commuting habitat for bats.

Figure 3. Rear garden. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25



4. Survey Methodology

4.1 Pre-Survey Data Search

Urban Tree Experts conducted a preliminary bat survey on this property in August 2023, no bats/evidence of bats or potential roosting opportunities were identified and the report recommended that no further bat activity surveys were required. Google Earth and MAGIC maps (magic.defra.gov.uk) websites were used prior to the survey to determine the suitability of the surrounding habitat to support roosting bats and to identify any statutory designated sites or EPSLs within 2km of the site. Due to suburban surroundings, the scale of the proposed development, and the very local impact that may occur, no data was sought from the local records centre at this time.

The site is situated in the village of Wargrave and is surrounded by properties and gardens of differing sizes and styles. To the south lie recreational grounds, which extend to large agricultural fields, both of which are likely to provide good habitat for bats and are within commuting distance for bats. To the north and east lie large areas of farmland, woodland and parkland, all of which are likely to provide good habitat for roosting, commuting and foraging bats and are connected to the site via ecological corridors. To the west lies the River Thames, which is known to provide good habitat for bats although housing developments and main roads sperate the site from the river. Further afield lie areas of farmland, woodland, wetland, and golf courses, which are likely to provide good habitat for bats and have some ecological connectivity to the site.



Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

No statutory designated sites lie within 2km of the proposed development site. A search of the Magic interactive website revealed one current EPSL for bats has been granted within 2km of the site, the details of which are provided in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Current EPSLs for bats within 2km of the site

EPSL reference	Licence end date	Species on licence
2020-45673-EPS-MIT-1	08/2030	Soprano pipistrelle

4.2 Daylight Survey

The DBW at 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave, Reading, RG10 8AH was carried out by Nick Powell on Tuesday 15 April 2025 at 10.10am. The weather conditions for the survey were overcast with a temperature of 12 degrees. Equipment used included a high-powered torch, a digital camera on a telescopic pole, endoscope and a ladder.

During the DBW, an external and internal inspection of the property was carried out to identify any signs of occupation by bats and features that could offer potential roosting sites following standard survey guidelines. Features investigated included:

- Construction of the building – soffits, loft space, tiles/slates, lead flashings etc.
- Building condition – structure of roof and walls.
- Internal conditions – microclimate stability, draughts etc.
- Access points – potential entry and exit points for bats.
- Roosting points – cracks and crevices, between underlay and roofing tiles/slates.

Field signs that would indicate the presence of bats were searched for. These included:

- Bat droppings on the floor and walls of the building.
- Feeding remains (particularly butterfly and moth wings).
- Evidence of urine and/or oily staining around possible roost entrances.
- Presence of areas cleared of cobwebs.
- Where a breathable roofing membrane has been fitted staining on the membrane may suggest use by bats.
- Odour can sometimes suggest the present of bats.
- Squeaking and chattering can reveal bats roosting between the tiles and roofing underlay.

Buildings or structures that were not to be affected by the current proposals or with no bat roosting potential were not inspected. This includes the attached garage as it will not be affected by the proposed works.

4.3 Constraints

Full access to the site during the visit was made possible by the client. There is no loft space in the main property and no access to any eaves storage areas but given the good condition of the roof externally this was not considered to be a significant constraint to the survey.



5. Survey Findings

5.1 External Inspection

The external features of the property were examined for signs described in section 4.2. Windowsills, exposed features around the windows, fascias and walls were inspected for any evidence of bat droppings or staining.

The property is a detached brick-built bungalow that is in a good condition externally. The multi pitched roof with rear, pitched extension (see Figure 4 below) was re-roofed approximately 12 years ago and is covered in close fitting tiles, one of which is broken but the tile stub is in situ affording no roosting opportunities, see Figure 5 on page 9. The ridge and hip tiles are all tightly fitted with no missing mortar which could be utilised by roosting bats, see Figure 6 on page 9. There is an eyebrow dormer and various Velux windows to the rear of the property. The dormer window is tiled and fully sealed and had no gaps in which roosting bats could exploit (see Figure 7 on page 10), and the Velux windows are sealed with no gaps suitable for bats to roost, see example at Figure 8 on page 10. The soffits and fascias are mainly uPVC and fully sealed with no gaps that could be used by roosting bats, see Figure 9 on page 11 and the verges are sealed with no gaps suitable for bats to roost, see Figure 10 on page 11. On the rear elevation is a brick built, rendered and painted single storey extension (see Figure 11 on page 12), the flat roof of which (and of the front porch) are covered in close fitted lead flashing with no gaps that could be exploited, see Figure 12 on page 12.

No bats or evidence of bats was recorded during the external inspection of the property and externally there were no visible roosting opportunities for bats or access into the building for roosting bats.

Figure 4. Multi pitched roof and well fitted roof tiles. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25





Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

Figure 5. Example of broken tile with stub in situ. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25



Figure 6. Example sealed ridge and hip tiles. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25





Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

Figure 7. Dormer window on rear elevation. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25



Figure 8. Tightly fitted lead flashing around Velux windows. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25





Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

Figure 9. Soffits and fascias. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25



Figure 10. Example sealed verges. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25





Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

Figure 11. Rear view of property. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25



Figure 12. Example sealed lead flashing on extension. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25





5.2 Internal Inspection

There is no loft space in the main property (see examples at Figure 13 below) and no access to any eaves storage areas but given the good condition of the roof externally this was not considered to be a significant constraint to the survey.

Figure 13. Internal view of property. 195 Victoria Road, Wargrave. 15.04.25





6. Evaluation

The bat roost potential of the features within the site have been assessed with reference to the following criteria and include seasonal variation where increased or decreased probability may arise. Where features are present, they are **highlighted in bold**.

The likelihood of bat roosts being present will be higher where structures:

- are of a pre-20th Century construction;
- are in a lowland rural setting;
- **have woodland, mature trees, species-rich grassland and/or water nearby;**
- have large dimension roof timbers with cracks, joints and holes;
- have numerous crevices in stonework and structures;
- have an uneven roof covering with gaps, though not too draughty;
- have hanging tiles or roof cladding, especially on south-facing walls;
- **have a roof warmed by the sun;**
- are disused or little used; largely undisturbed;
- provide appropriate hibernation conditions, such as abandoned mines, tunnels, kilns, or fortifications; or
- **Recent and historical records of bat roosts in the general area.**

The likelihood of bat roosts being present will be lower where structures:

- **are in an urban setting with little green space;**
- are subject to heavy disturbance (constant movement due to draughts and noise, also unstable microclimate);
- **have a small, cluttered roof void (particularly for brown long-eared);**
- **are of a modern construction with few gaps or crevices that bats can fly or crawl through (though pipistrelle bats may still be present);**
- are comprised of prefabricated steel or sheet materials; (some sections);
- are active industrial premises.

Please note that the above list provides generic screening criteria only and there are exceptions to consider.

7. Conclusions

7.1 Interpretation

The combined evidence from the desktop study and the internal and external inspection of the property provides a high level of confidence in support of the opinions set out in this report. There are no visible features externally on the building which could be utilised by roosting bats or in which bats could access the building to roost and internally no evidence of bats and no potential roosting opportunities for bats.

Informed by the results of the survey and the factors highlighted in Section 6, it is concluded that there is negligible potential for roosting bats within the property. Based on recommendations in the Bat Workers Manual and the Bat Surveys Good Practice Guidelines, no further survey effort is required.



Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

7.2 Contingency Plan

In the unlikely event that bats are found during the proposed works, all work must stop, and advice sought from Urban Tree Experts or another licensed bat ecologist.

If, for whatever reason, there is a time delay of greater than 12 months between this survey and the commencement of work, then the survey should be repeated as the condition of the building may change and bats may start roosting at the site.

8. References

Collins, J (ed.) (2023). Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists: Good Practice Guidelines (4th Edition). The Bat Conservation Trust, London.

HM Government (2017) Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations as (amended).

HM Government (1981) The Wildlife and Countryside Act (as amended).

HM Government (2006) Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act.

Mitchell-Jones, A.J. & McLeish, A.P. (2004). Bat Workers' Manual (3rd Edition). Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

9. Queries

Any queries regarding this report should be addressed, in the first instance, to Urban Tree Experts:

Telephone: 01189 762902
Email: info@batsurveys-uk.com

Urban Tree Experts
Bramley House
Newnham Bridge
Tenbury Wells
WR15 8NX

Simon Holmes MSc. CEnv.
Consultant, Urban Tree Experts
Natural England Licence CLS-CLS-17637, CLS-CLS-17638



Urban Tree Experts

BS5837 – Tree Surveys – Ecological Consulting

APPENDIX 1

In summary, the legislation combined makes it an offence to:

- Intentionally or recklessly damage, destroy or obstruct access to a structure or place used for shelter by a bat.
- Intentionally or recklessly disturb bats; in particular any disturbance which is likely to impair the ability of bats to survive, breed or reproduce or nurture their young; or in the case of hibernating or migrating bats, to hibernate or migrate.
- Intentionally or deliberately kill, injure or take any bat.

Planning Considerations:

Government guidance to Local Planning Authorities stipulates the need to consider biodiversity and protected species during the consideration of planning applications. The NPPF makes clear that the planning system should help minimise the impacts that development can have on biodiversity and provide net gains in biodiversity where possible. In addition, the ODPM Circular 04/2005 states *"It is essential that the presence or otherwise of protected species, and the extent that they may be affected by the proposed development, is established before the planning permission is granted, otherwise all relevant material considerations may not have been addressed in making the decision"*.

Policy CP7 of the Wokingham Borough Core Strategy (planning policy relating to the site) states *"Development which may harm habitats or, species of principle importance in England for nature conservation, veteran trees or features of the landscape that are of major importance for wild flora and fauna (including wildlife and river corridors), whether directly or indirectly will be only permitted if it has been clearly demonstrated that the need for the proposal outweighs the need to safeguard the nature conservation importance, that no alternative site that would result in less or no harm is available which will meet the need, and*

- i) Mitigation measures can be put in place to prevent damaging impacts; or*
- ii) Appropriate compensation measures to offset the scale and kind of losses are provided"*.

Developments that compromise the protection afforded to bats or roosts under the provisions of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 and the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 will require a European Protected Species (EPS) licence from Natural England (NE).

NE, the government's statutory conservation advisory organisation, is responsible for issuing EPS licences that would permit activities that would otherwise lead to an infringement of the Habitat Regulations.

Three tests must be satisfied before this licence (to permit otherwise prohibited acts) can be issued:

- Reg 44(2)(e) – the derogation is "in the interests of public health and public safety, or for other imperative reasons of overriding public interest, including those of a social or economic nature and beneficial consequences of primary importance for the environment".
- Reg 44(3)(a) – there is "no satisfactory alternative" to the derogation.
- Reg 44(3)(b) – the derogation is "not detrimental to the maintenance of the populations of the species concerned at a favourable conservation status in their natural range".

Tests (a) and (e) can be met with the issue of planning permission for the proposed works. Test (b) is determined by NE's ecology department that requires the development of a suitable mitigation strategy that would ensure that any bats present on site, are retained at the same population level or better.