

Proportionate Flood Risk Assessment

For the proposed surface works at
The Burrows, Wargrave Road,
Remenham, RG9 2LT

Prepared by
Dr Robin Saunders CEng
Innervision Design Ltd

updated to address EA comments May 2025



All rights reserved. No part of this report may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means – electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise – without the prior written permission of Innervision Design Ltd.

Permission in full is granted to the client and the client's agent(s).

Where used to accompany a planning application permission is also granted, in full, to the Local Authority under which the application is made such that the normal processes under all Sections of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 can be implemented.

Contains Environment Agency information © Environment Agency and database right

Contents

Contents	i
List of Figures	ii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Site location	1
1.2 Development description	1
1.3 Scope of FRA	2
1.4 EA request 23.04.25	2
2 Flood risk analysis	3
2.1 Sources of potential flooding	3
2.2 Flood data	3
2.2.1 Flood risk from sea and rivers	3
2.2.2 Flood risk from groundwater	4
2.2.3 Flood risk from sewer and highway drains	5
2.2.4 Flooding risk from surface water	5
2.2.5 Flood risk from infrastructure failure	6
2.3 Impact on flood risk elsewhere	6
3 Levels	7
3.1 Climate change	7
3.2 Flood levels	7
3.2.1 Ground strengthening (Area A)	7
3.2.2 Storage area (Area B)	8
3.3 Flood Depths	9
3.3.1 Ground strengthening (Area A)	9
3.3.2 Storage area (Area B)	10
3.4 External ground levels	11
3.4.1 Area of ground strengthening	11
3.4.2 Storage Area	11
3.4.3 Temporary structures	11
3.5 Management of flood risk	12
3.5.1 Flood resilience and resistance	12
3.5.2 Appropriateness	12
4 Summary	14

List of Figures

1	Site location plan	1
2	Flood Zone 2 & 3	3
3	Extents of Flood Zone 3 extents with an allowance for climate change . .	4
4	Extents of Flood Zone 3b relative to the area of local ground reinforcement	4
5	1 in 1000yr SW flood extents	5
6	Revised climate change allowances	7
7	1 in 100y+35% flood levels	8
8	1 in 100y+35% flood levels	9
9	1 in 100y+35% flood depths	10
10	1 in 100y+35% flood depths	11
11	Appropriateness of development	13

Disclaimer

This document has been prepared solely as a preliminary flood risk assessment report on behalf of the client. Innervision Design Ltd maintains that all reasonable care and skill have been used in the compilation of this report. However, Innervision Design Ltd shall not be under any liability for loss or damage (including consequential loss) whatsoever or howsoever arising as a result of the use of this report by the client or his agents. If any un-authorised third party comes into possession of this report they rely on it at their own risk and Innervision Design Ltd owes them no duty, care or skill whatsoever.

1 Introduction

1.1 Site location

The project is at “The Burrows”, Wargrave Road, Remenham, RG9 2LT (see Figure 1).



Figure 1: Site location plan, with North topmost.

1.2 Development description

The site is an existing developed site and the proposed work is classed as minor development. This report covers two proposals:

1. An area of external ground strengthening.
2. A storage area.

All plans to be submitted under separate cover.

1.3 Scope of FRA

The scope of this FRA is such that it has “regard, in particular, to the nature and scale of the proposed development” (Ref 62(4A) T&CP Act.) as described above.

1.4 EA request 23.04.25

While the FRA submitted was considered proportionate to the “Nature and Scale” of this proposal, as described above, the EA have commented:

“In particular, the FRA fails to:

- use the most up to date available information to assess flood risk. Section 2.2 now covers.
- take the impacts of climate change into account. Section 3.1 now covers.
- demonstrate the development will not increase flood risk elsewhere. Although previously stated, Section 2.3 now re-iterates this point.
- demonstrate the development will be appropriately flood resistant and resilient for its lifetime.” Section 3.5 now covers.

2 Flood risk analysis

2.1 Sources of potential flooding

Flood risk from various sources at the site is analysed in this section.

2.2 Flood data

The fluvial flood mapping and data now presented is taken from the Thames (Sonning to Hurley) 2019 model.

2.2.1 Flood risk from sea and rivers

Flooding can occur from the sea due to a particularly high tide or surge, or combination of both.

The site is not at risk from tidal flooding.

Flooding can also take place from flows that are not contained within the channel due to high levels of rainfall in the catchment.

With reference to the Environment Agency flood mapping, as at Figure 2 it can be seen that the location of the storage area is predominantly within **present day** Flood Zone 2 (Note the 25.04.25 update has not changed this).

The storage area falls within Zone 3a when an allowance for climate change is applied as shown in Figure 3.



Figure 2: Extents of present day Flood Zones 2 & 3



Figure 3: Extents of Flood Zone 3, as defined by the 1 in100yr extents with a +35% allowance for climate change

The area of local reinforcement is wholly within Flood Zone 3a with the Southern most third also in Flood Zone 3b (as defined by the 1 in 30yr flood extents).



Figure 4: Extents of Flood Zone 3b, as defined by the 1 in 30yr flood extents, relative to the area of local ground reinforcement (Area A).

2.2.2 Flood risk from groundwater

Groundwater flooding occurs when water levels in the ground rise above surface levels. It is most common in low-lying areas underlain by permeable rock (aquifers), usually

due to extended periods of wet weather. The site's geology is classified as having low susceptibility to groundwater flooding, <25%.

Hence, the risk of groundwater flooding on the proposed site can be considered to be Low.

2.2.3 Flood risk from sewer and highway drains

Flooding occurs when combined, foul or surface water sewers and highway drains are temporarily over-loaded due to excessive rainfall or due to blockage.

There are no indicators to Sewer flooding at the site.

Hence, the risk of sewer and highway flooding to the proposed site can be considered to be Low.

2.2.4 Flooding risk from surface water

Flooding occurs when rainfall fall on a surface (on or off the site) which acts as run-off which has not infiltrated into the ground or entered into a drainage system.

With reference to the E.A's RoFSW data, Figure 5, both locations are in an area with a very Low risk of flooding from surface water and hence not at risk.




Figure 5: SW Flood risk 1 (1 in 1000yr) relative to the site. Data from RoSWF data set overlain on a Google map image. The area of both elements of the works is not shown to be at risk from surface water flooding

2.2.5 Flood risk from infrastructure failure

Flooding occurs because of canals, reservoirs, industrial processes, burst water mains or failed pumping stations.

The site is not shown to be at a Low flood risk from reservoir failure.

2.3 Impact on flood risk elsewhere

Given that:

- The ground levels are not being raised hence there will be no loss in flood plain capacity,
- The temporary storage structures are “floodable” and hence will not displace flood water,
- All areas of new hard standing are to be formed using a permeable surface,

then the proposals will not impact on flood risk elsewhere.

3 Levels

3.1 Climate change

With reference to Figure 6, the revised climate change allowance for the site is +31%. The EA data does not provide values for +31% hence values for +35% are used in a conservative manner.




Figure 6: Revised climate change allowances

3.2 Flood levels

3.2.1 Ground strengthening (Area A)

Refer to Figure 7.




Figure 7: 1 in 100y+35% flood levels, mAOB

3.2.2 Storage area (Area B)

Refer to Figure 8.




Figure 8: 1 in 100y+35% flood levels, mAOD

3.3 Flood Depths

3.3.1 Ground strengthening (Area A)

Refer to Figure 9.




Figure 9: 1 in 100y+35% flood depths, m

3.3.2 Storage area (Area B)

Refer to Figure 10.



Figure 10: 1 in 100y+35% flood depths, m

3.4 External ground levels

3.4.1 Area of ground strengthening

It is understood that the ground level is not being raised, only strengthened by the use of a reinforcement grid material (Bodpave).

3.4.2 Storage Area

This area is not being raised only surfaced by the use of a free draining material (understood to be gravel) as previously approved under application 210519.

3.4.3 Temporary structures

These are formed with lightweight timber and are understood to have no constructed flooring and are formed direct onto the external ground.

3.5 Management of flood risk

3.5.1 Flood resilience and resistance

No specific flood resilience measure are required to either the area of local ground reinforcement or the storage area and associated temporary lightweight “log storage” timber structures.

3.5.2 Appropriateness

With reference to the NPPF: Annexe 3 and Figure 11:

- The storage area is classed as “less vulnerable¹” and is “Appropriate development” in Flood Zone 3a.
- Carparks are classed as Less vulnerable and this is not appropriate development in Flood Zone 3b. However, the submitted planning statement comments:

“Now that application 210519 has been implemented, there is sufficient area for parking and material storage within ‘The Burrow’ which means the land subject to this application is no longer required for parking purposes.”

- Hence the area of local ground reinforcement is now classed as “water compatible²” and is “Appropriate development” in Flood Zones 3a and 3b.

¹Land and buildings used for agriculture and forestry

²Amenity open space, nature conservation and biodiversity, outdoor sports and recreation and essential facilities such as changing rooms.

Flood risk vulnerability classification (see table 2)		Essential infrastructure	Water compatible	Highly vulnerable	More vulnerable	Less vulnerable
Flood zone (see table 1)	Zone 1	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
	Zone 2	✓	✓	Exception Test required	✓	✓
	Zone 3a	Exception Test required	✓	✗	Exception Test required	✓
	Zone 3b functional floodplain	Exception Test required	✓	✗	✗	✗

Figure 11: Appropriateness of development

4 Summary

- The Storage area is predominantly in present day Flood Zone 2 and future Flood Zone 3a;
- The Storage area formed using a pervious freely draining material (understood to be loose gravel);
- Given the use of a pervious surface the use of this area for storage will not increase flood risk elsewhere;
- The area of “Bodpave” reinforcement is wholly in Flood Zone 3 and in part in flood Zone 3b;
- The ground levels are not being raised hence there will be no loss in flood plain capacity;
- The “Bodpave” system does not affect the natural drainage of the area hence there will be no additional run-off from this area;

In conclusion, neither the gravelled storage area nor area of local surface reinforcement will increase flood risk elsewhere and hence from a flood risk perspective both schemes are considered to be acceptable.

Signed

Dr Robin Saunders CEng, C. Build E, MCABE, BEng(Hons), PhD

Date: 2nd May, 2025