



Sheet 2

Reasons for the proposed works are listed and numbered below

1. The Beech tree has grown out from the understory and is developing over the parking area, it is a small insignificant tree and has damage in multiple places. It provides very little amenity value and needs to be removed before it becomes an issue.
2. G.1 range in size from very small dead/dying conifers to mature trees that are developing on the boundary line with the main road. They are poor specimens and are now coming into conflict with the property. They have started to impact the retaining walls and should be removed before any significant damage is caused. They provide very little amenity value and are of poor quality. They may fall just outside of the TPO area, but it is hard to tell from the map
3. G.2 is a lapsed hedge, but we have included them within the application for transparency. It is a developing hedge line that we wish to continue managing as a hedge and the reduction is proportionate to good management so we can retain the amenity value whilst ensuring the trees do not become an issue for the main road.
4. It is likely that none of these trees were present when the TPO was placed

Summary

The proposed works will make significant difference to Client's use of their garden and will help to prevent future conflicts between the trees and the property.

The reasons 1.-4. are all credible reasons, to justify the works, in their own right and all far out way the any loss of any visual amenity.