

PLANNING REF : 261624
PROPERTY ADDRESS : Field Cottage
: Whistley Green, Reading, Berkshire
: RG10 0EB
SUBMITTED BY : Mrs Heather Burn-Murdoch
DATE SUBMITTED : 26/07/2026

COMMENTS:

All previous objections for development on this and neighbouring sites remain valid. This site is outside the village settlement and is part of an ongoing appeal on land where permission has been refused several times. Because of its size, it is not constitute infill.

This is a flood risk area yet a complete drainage strategy has not been provided and the flood risk assessment contains errors and omissions.

Development on this site would be detrimental to the Hurst Area of Special Character, heritage buildings and setting. It also forms part of the Haines Hill Valued Landscape. The heritage report submitted is for a different scheme as is the tree report so not valid.

The proposed development would be detrimental to the rural character and recreational value of Hogmoor Lane which leads to open countryside and networks of footpaths and bridleways which are well used by

walkers, runners, cyclists and riders not just from Hurst but also from the surrounding built up areas.

Hogmoor Lane is narrow with no footways or passing places and the junction with the busy A321 has very limited sightlines. None of the three proposals for improved access are satisfactory.

The Planning in Principle approach is inappropriate for this sensitive site. All technical details should be provided for an application to be considered.

This is yet another attempt to urbanise Hurst and should be rejected.

PLANNING REF : 261624
PROPERTY ADDRESS : Field Cottage
: Whistley Green, Reading, Berkshire
: RG10 0EB
SUBMITTED BY : Mrs Heather Burn-Murdoch
DATE SUBMITTED : 26/07/2026

COMMENTS:

All previous objections for development on this and neighbouring sites remain valid. This site is outside the village settlement and is part of an ongoing appeal on land where permission has been refused several times. Because of its size, it is not constitute infill.

This is a flood risk area yet a complete drainage strategy has not been provided and the flood risk assessment contains errors and omissions.

Development on this site would be detrimental to the Hurst Area of Special Character, heritage buildings and setting. It also forms part of the Haines Hill Valued Landscape. The heritage report submitted is for a different scheme as is the tree report so not valid.

The proposed development would be detrimental to the rural character and recreational value of Hogmoor Lane which leads to open

countryside and networks of footpaths and bridleways which are well used by walkers, runners, cyclists and riders not just from Hurst but also from the surrounding built up areas. Hogmoor Lane is narrow with no footways or passing places and the junction with the busy A321 has very limited sightlines. None of the three proposals for improved access are satisfactory. The Planning in Principle approach is inappropriate for this sensitive site. All technical details should be provided for an application to be considered. This is yet another attempt to urbanise Hurst and should be rejected.