

PLANNING REF : 260487  
PROPERTY ADDRESS : Field View  
: Back Lane, Spencers Wood, Reading, Berkshire  
: RG71YG  
SUBMITTED BY : Mr Meakin Richard  
DATE SUBMITTED : 22/03/2026

COMMENTS:

I am writing to strongly object to the proposed variation of Conditions 2, 4 and 6 relating to the extension of operating hours.

The current temporary trial period for the extended hours has not been in place long enough to allow for a proper and fair assessment of the impact on neighbouring residential properties. The units have only recently been occupied, meaning there is very limited evidence of how the extended operations affect residents in practice.

Crucially, the trial period has not yet covered the summer months, when windows are more likely to be open. This is a key factor, as noise from vehicle movements, loading activities, and general operations is likely to be far more noticeable and disruptive during this time. It is therefore premature to make any changes to the conditions before the full impact has been experienced across different seasons.

I am particularly concerned about the effect of extended hours on my household. I have Type 1 diabetes, as does my seven-year-old son, and consistent, uninterrupted sleep is an essential part of managing our condition and maintaining our health. In addition, my five-year-old son is autistic and is especially sensitive to noise and disruption, which can significantly affect his sleep patterns and overall wellbeing.

Any increase in noise or disturbance during early morning or late evening hours would have a direct and serious impact on our health and quality of life. These are not minor inconveniences but genuine concerns relating to medical and developmental needs.

There was also significant objection from local residents to the original extension of operating hours, which highlights the unsuitability of such extended use in close proximity to family homes.

Given the lack of sufficient evidence from the trial period, and the potential for significant harm to residential amenity particularly for vulnerable households I strongly believe that the proposed variation should not be approved.