

PLANNING REF : 260751
PROPERTY ADDRESS : 167
: Headley Rd East, Woodley, Reading, Berks
: RG54JG
SUBMITTED BY : Mrs Jacqueline Bedwell
DATE SUBMITTED : 24/04/2026

COMMENTS:

I absolutely object to this planning application:

1- It which not take into account the small size of the site and access which is needed by existing residents.

2 - I have lived in my current maisonettes for 20 + years, and in one of the others onsite for 4+ years; and in all this time the proposed site has been available for residents and their guests , and service vehicles attending the maisonettes. (This goes back further than the 24/5 years that I have lived here.)

It would cause considerable inconvenience to have a property built as there is only just enough parking as it is.

3- The access drive to the site behind the maisonettes is only just sufficient for cars to access comfortably, and the prospect of large vehicle and lorries during any work would be a challenge to access the site safely.

4- In addition, there are garages facing the site which are privately owned by existing residents, who would be unable to manoeuvre their cars into their garages and to park outside their garages. This is no t acceptable when they already own their garages.

5- There is a huge safety implication, both during any building works, and once a property had been constructed - there are numerous vulnerable individuals who live in the maisonettes - including young children, elderly residents and people who have additional needs, which makes the proposed development an increased danger to these individuals.

6 - As the proposed project has windows on the first floor (within the roof space) and windows which would look out onto several existing gardens, it is inappropriate to provide this invasion of privacy.

6- There are boundary fences and hedges which are the perimeters of existing gardens, so having this proposed development would hinder existing residents access and ability to maintain these boundaries.

7- Currently there is an existing shortfall of spaces for the existing properties (a shortfall of 25 % as only 12 spaces at the front for 16 properties - so this proposal would exacerbate the already difficult parking situation.

8- If the property or any of the existing properties needed emergency vehicles to the back of their gardens / garages, there would not be sufficient space for easy access causing a danger to all the properties. For example, a British fire engine needs an access width of 3.7 metres (12' 1") between kerbs. as this access is only 2.7 metres wide (9 feet) this is an obvious danger to the existing residents.

9- The windows on the first floor would be opposite the first floor maisonettes windows and overlooking the gardens to the front of the property and to one side of the property. This would be an invasion of privacy for many of the existing residents.