

BERKSHIRE ARCHAEOLOGY

Berkshire Record Office
9 Coley Avenue
Reading
RG1 6AF

☎ 0118 937 5976

E-Mail: info@berkshirearchaeology.org.uk

17th February 2026

Planning CONSULTATION RESPONSE – 260200 Brookfield Farm Old Wokingham Road

Thank you for consulting Berkshire Archaeology regarding the above application. Berkshire Archaeology is part of Reading Borough Council's Museum and Town Hall Services and provides historic environment advice to the five unitary authorities of Bracknell Forest Council, Reading Borough Council, Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead, Slough Borough Council and Wokingham Borough Council. This response relates solely to buried archaeological heritage, the Council's Conservation Officer should be consulted for any matters relating to the historic built environment.

There are potential archaeological implications with this proposed development as demonstrated by Berkshire Archaeology's Historic Environment Record. Crop marks are noted c.70m north of the site, and further crop marks of a possible enclosure and field system c.170m northwest of the site in adjacent fields. It is possible activity associated with these features may extend into the site. The wider landscape evidences prehistoric activity with several crop marks and findspots recorded, although little investigation has yet taken place in the area. However Iron Age features have recently been discovered c1.5km to the north. A recent watching brief at Brookfield Farm did not uncover evidence of archaeology, however it was very limited in scope and, given the impacts of the current proposal, further work should be undertaken.

Although this is not a largescale development, it is likely the plot has never previously been developed and therefore any archaeology, should it exist, may remain undisturbed.

As shown, the application site falls within an area of archaeological significance and archaeological remains may be damaged by ground disturbance for the proposed development. It is therefore recommended that the following condition is applied, should permission be granted, in order to mitigate the impacts of development. This is in accordance with Paragraph 218 of the NPPF(2025) which states that local planning authorities should '*require developers to record and advance understanding of the significance of any heritage assets to be lost (wholly or in part) in a manner proportionate to their importance and the impact, and to make this evidence (and any archive generated) publicly accessible*'.

Condition:

A) No development shall take place/commence until a programme of archaeological work, including a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) has been submitted to, and approved by, the local planning authority in writing.

The WSI shall include an assessment of significance and research questions; and:

1. The programme and methodology of site investigation and recording
2. The programme for post investigation assessment
3. Provision to be made for analysis of the site investigation and recording

4. Provision to be made for publication and dissemination of the analysis and records of the site investigation
5. Provision to be made for archive deposition of the analysis and records of the site investigation
6. Nomination of a competent person or persons/organisation to undertake the works set out within the WSI.

B) The Development shall take place in accordance with the WSI approved under condition (A).

The development shall not be occupied until the site investigation and post investigation assessment has been completed in accordance with the programme set out in the WSI approved under condition (A) and the provision made for analysis, publication and dissemination of results and archive deposition has been secured.

Reason:

The site lies in an area of archaeological potential, particularly for, but not limited to, Prehistoric remains. The potential impacts of the development can be mitigated through a programme of archaeological work. This is in accordance with national and local plan policy.

The proposed development for nine dwellings will include ground impacts for foundations, utilities, landscaping etc. In view of the nature and scale of the development and the low likelihood of the potential archaeology, should it exist, meriting preservation in situ, field **evaluation through trial trenching** would represent an appropriate initial phase of work in order to determine the archaeological potential, levels of previous truncation, and the need for any further phases of work.

Berkshire Archaeology would be pleased to discuss the approach with the applicant, or their archaeological consultant, should permission be granted.

Linden Ellicott
Archaeology Officer