APPLICATION NO:

VAR/2002/7942

LOCATION:

Clares Cottage Church Lane, Remenham Henley-On-Thames.

APPLICANT:

T A Copas Family Settlement C/O Evans Planning And Development Consultancy

PROPOSAL:

Proposed variation of condition 6 on consent 25664 relating to occupancy of Clare Cottage.

EARLIEST DATE FOR DECISION: **07/12/2002**

APPLICATION WILL HAVE EXPIRED ON: 01/01/2003

CASE OFFICER: **Angela Odell**

APPROVED

RAILEX
Marbleboard

EASIFILE EP7

WOKINGHAM DISTRICT COUNCIL

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACTS

Notification of Approval of Permission

T A Copas Family Settlement
C/O Evans Planning and Development Consultancy
18 Webb Court
Wokingham
Berkshire
RG40 5YR

Application Number: VAR/2002/7942

Parish:	**REMENHAM**
Location:	**Clare Cottage, Church Lane, Remenham, Henley-on-Thames.**
Proposal:	**Proposed variation of condition 6 on consent 25664 relating to occupancy of Clare Cottage.**

The Wokingham District Council in pursuance of their powers under the above Acts and Regulations hereby **Approve Permission** for carrying out the above development in accordance with the application submitted to the Council subject to compliance with the following condition.

Condition

1. The condition No. 6 of permission number 25664 is replaced with the following:

"The occupation of Clare Cottage shall be limited to a person solely or mainly working, or last working, in the locality in agriculture or forestry, or a widow or widower of such a person, and to any resident dependants".

Informative

1. You are advised, in compliance with The Town and Country Planning (General Development Procedure) (England) (Amendment) Order 2000, that the following policies and/or proposals in the development plan are relevant to this decision:

Berkshire Structure Plan Policy C4.

Green Belt Local Plan Policy 8.

Deposit Draft Wokingham District Local Plan Policy WGB2.

T. G Watson

Trevor Watson
Development Control Manager
Date: 12/12/2002

Notice checked by: _____

If this notice grants permission to proceed with the proposal the applicant is reminded that it relates to planning permission only and does not constitute approval under any other legislation including Building Regulations.

WORK SHEET FOR : Angela Odell

Application Number : VAR/2002/7942

Applicant : T A Copas Family Settlement C/O Evans Planning And Development Consultancy.

Location : Clares Cottage, Church Lane, Remenham, Henley-On-Thames.

Proposal : Proposed variation of condition 6 on consent 25664 relating to occupancy of Clare Cottage.

Site Notice Displayed ? :	YES	NO	DATE

Date of Neighbour Notifications : .....

Date of Site Visit : 19.11.02

Date Registered : 06/11/2002

Earliest Date for Decision : 07/12/2002

Latest Consultation Date/Site Notice Expiry Date : 07/12/2002

This application will have expired on: 01/01/2003. You need to make a decision 1 day prior to this date for this application to count as determined in less than 8 weeks.

Consultation Responses :

None received as at 12.12.02

"The occupation of Clare Cottage shall be limited to a person solely or mainly working, or last working, in the locality in agriculture or forestry, or a widow or widower of such a person, and to any resident dependants."

Planning Issues :

Application Number: VAR/2002/7942

Appraisal :

See attached report.

Recomendation : Approval: ☑ **Refusal:** ☐
(tick appropriate box)

VAR/2002/7942 – Appraisal

Proposal
Is to vary the agricultural occupancy condition on the existing consent to the standard agricultural occupancy condition so that the occupant is no longer required to work on/manage the holding.

Relevant planning history
On 28.10 86 permission was granted for a pair of semi-detached cottages with condition No. 6 requiring each to be occupied by a worker/manager on the holding. However, although excavations were reported to have taken place in 1992, repeated visits showed that up to 1998 no further substantial construction had taken place. It was reported that construction recommenced in 1998 and that by mid 1999 the dwellings appeared to be completed.

Application VAR/2001/3531 to vary condition 6 to allow occupation by a non-agricultural worker on the adjoining John cottage for a temporary period of 5 years was refused permission on grounds that insufficient evidence had been supplied that there was no longer a demand in the locality for accommodation for agricultural workers employed elsewhere than on the holding. The refusal was subsequently appealed. The Inspector varied the condition to the standard agricultural occupancy condition. He could find no adequate evidence that there was no need locally for agricultural workers' accommodation, and therefore considered that for policy reasons the application should not be allowed as applied for.

Appraisal
The site is in the Green Belt outside a settlement. Policy WGB2 covers new dwellings for agricultural workers in the Green Belt. The deposit draft version of this plan states that the dwelling is not to be separated from any associated enterprise. However, this policy as now agreed to be modified removes this (in line with the Inspector's recommendation). Annex I of PPG7 covers occupancy conditions, and quotes the model condition that the applicants are now applying to vary to. Para 18 of Annex I also states that it should not be necessary to tie occupation of the dwelling to workers engaged in any one specific farm business. In view of this, and the above appeal decision on the adjoining dwelling, consider that the application should be allowed to alter the condition to the standard agricultural worker occupancy condition.

No other issues involved.

Recommendation: approval of variation

Application Number VAR/2002/7942
Conditions/~~Reasons for Refusal~~ N.B. Address corrected

No	Std Con	Std Reas	Non Std Conditions / Reasons for Refusal
1			The condition No. 6 of permission No. 25664 is replaced with the following:

If any of the consultee's have requested a copy of the decision notice please list them below;

Informative for approvals

N16

LODDON AREA LOCAL PLAN POLICIES:

SOUTH-EAST AREA LOCAL PLAN POLICIES:

WOKINGHAM DISTRICT LOCAL PLAN POLICIES: WGB2

GREEN BELT LOCAL PLAN POLICIES: Policy 8

BERKSHIRE STRUCTURE PLAN POLICIES: C4

MINERALS LOCAL PLAN POLICIES:

RECOMMENDATION AND CONDITIONS/REASONS AGREED: D G Watson
(Development Control Manager)

JL 12/12

Date: 12/12/02

RECORD OF SITE VISIT

SITE ADDRESS: Clares Cottage Church Lane Remenham Henley-On-Thames.

APPLICATION NUMBER: VAR/2002/7942

OFFICER: Angela Odell

DATE OF SITE VISIT: 19.11.02

1 SITE/STATUTORY NOTICE DISPLAYED ?

2. DESCRIPTION OF SURROUNDINGS Rural area.

3. DESCRIPTION OF SITE/BUILDING Modern semi - detached house.

4. RELATIONSHIP TO ADJOINING PROPERTIES Large modern barn on N. side of pair of cottages.

5. SITE CONSTRAINTS

TREES To S. of & part of entrance area to S. & S. of cottage.

ACCESS/PARKING from Remenham Church Lane.

LEVELS Flat.

BOUNDARY TREATMENT —

OTHERS

Application Number : VAR/2002/7942	**Map No :** 8
Case Officer : AO	**Site Area :** 0.12
Valid Date : 6/11/02	**Received Date: 06/11/2002**

Level : Delegated Application

Description : Proposed variation of condition 6 on consent 25664 ~~for the~~ relating to **occupancy of Clare Cottage.**

Location : Clares Cottage, Church Lane, Remenham, Henley-On-Thames.

Parish : RE Ward : REM

Applicant : T A Copas Family Settlement C/O Evans Planning And Development Consultancy.

Fee Required: £110 Fee Received: £110

Additional: ..... or Refund: .....

Enforcement Section to be notified ☐ (tick if required)

Additional information required for validation;

REASON	NOTES	DATE REQ	DATE RECD
LOCATION PLANS			
BLOCK PLANS			
FORMS UNSIGNED			
S.66 MISSING/INCORRECT/ UNSIGNED			
ELEVATION PLANS			
FLOOR PLANS			
NO SCALE OR SCALE IS IMPERIAL			
ENCROACHMENT LETTER REQUIRED			
OTHER			

Is the site in a Conservation Area: ☐ Yes ☐ No If "Yes" which one _____

Is the site a Listed Building: ☐ Yes ☐ No

Is a Statutory Advertisement required: ☐ Yes ☐ No

INTERNAL CONSULTATIONS

Building Control

Heritage (Harold Sanders)

Landscape

Trees

Environmental Health

Housing

Leisure & Cultural Services

Public Rights of Way Officer

Property

Policy & Plans

Joint Strategic Planning Unit

Archaeological Officer (BABTIE)

Education

Social Services

Highways

EXTERNAL CONSULTATIONS

Adjacent DPA : _____

Adjacent Parish : _____

SEB

British Gas

Oil pipeline

Transco

Environment Agency

Railtrack

Police Arch Officer

HERITAGE BODIES

Victorian Society
The Georgian Group
Ancient Monuments Society
Council for British Arch
RCHME
NRPB (Telecommunications)
Sport England
Society for Proct' of Ancient Bldgs
English Heritage

OTHERS

.....

Green Belt

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

NEIGHBOURS

.....

S/N + see map

.....

Incl Johns Cottage, Remenham Churchgate

.....(no address point)

+Pls incl: Vic Moore,
Yewgate Cottage,
Remenham Hill, Henley
RG9 3ES

Cllr. Frank Browne
The old Coach House
Remenham Hill, Remenham
RG9 3EP

No pre-apps.

**********FILE COPY**********

Tel: (0118) 974 6445

Date: 13 November 2002

My ref: VAR/2002/7942

WOKINGHAM UNITARY
Aiming for Excellence

Councillor Haacke
Wayside
Remenham Hill
Henley-On-Thames
Oxon
RG9 3EG

Environmental Services Department
Planning Services
P.O. Box 157
Shute End, Wokingham
Berkshire RG40 1WR
Tel: (0118) 974 6000
Fax: (0118) 974 6484
Minicom No: (0118) 974 6991
DX: 33506 – Wokingham

Dear Councillor

Application Number: VAR/2002/7942
Clares Cottage, Church Lane, Remenham, Henley-On-Thames.
Proposed variation of condition 6 on consent 25664 relating to occupancy of Clare Cottage.

I write to let you know that the above mentioned application has been received.

At this stage a recommendation has not yet been made. This form should only be returned if it is likely that you might wish for the application to be listed for consideration by the Development Control Committee. This form must be received no later than 28 days from the date of this letter.

If you have concerns about the proposals it may be possible for these to be addressed during the consideration of the application. In these circumstances it would be helpful if you could discuss these with the case officer **Angela Odell** on **(0118) 974 6445** in the first instance.

Yours sincerely
Trevor Watson
Development Control Manager

LISTING FORM

Case officer: **Angela Odell** Application Number: **VAR/2002/7942**
Clares Cottage, Church Lane, Remenham, Henley-On-Thames.
Proposed variation of condition 6 on consent 25664 relating to occupancy of Clare Cottage.
I wish this application to be listed should the officers recommendation be for: GRANT/REFUSAL and my reasons are:

_____

_____

Should the officers recommendation be in accordance with my views I am happy for the application to be determined under delegated powers. YES/NO

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Councillor Haacke

**********FILE COPY**********

**********FILE COPY**********

Tel: (0118) 974 6445

Date: 13 November 2002

My ref: VAR/2002/7942

WOKINGHAM UNITARY
Aiming for Excellence

Councillor Browne
The Old Coach House
Remenham Hill
Remenham
Berks
RG9 3EP

Environmental Services Department
Planning Services
P.O. Box 157
Shute End, Wokingham
Berkshire RG40 1WR
Tel: (0118) 974 6000
Fax: (0118) 974 6484
Minicom No: (0118) 974 6991
DX: 33506 – Wokingham

Dear Councillor

Application Number: VAR/2002/7942
Clares Cottage, Church Lane, Remenham, Henley-On-Thames.
Proposed variation of condition 6 on consent 25664 relating to occupancy of Clare Cottage.

I write to let you know that the above mentioned application has been received.

At this stage a recommendation has not yet been made. This form should only be returned if it is likely that you might wish for the application to be listed for consideration by the Development Control Committee. This form must be received no later than 28 days from the date of this letter.

If you have concerns about the proposals it may be possible for these to be addressed during the consideration of the application. In these circumstances it would be helpful if you could discuss these with the case officer **Angela Odell** on **(0118) 974 6445** in the first instance.

Yours sincerely
Trevor Watson
Development Control Manager

LISTING FORM
Case officer: **Angela Odell** Application Number: **VAR/2002/7942**
Clares Cottage, Church Lane, Remenham, Henley-On-Thames.
Proposed variation of condition 6 on consent 25664 relating to occupancy of Clare Cottage.
I wish this application to be listed should the officers recommendation be for: GRANT/REFUSAL and my reasons are:

_____

_____

Should the officers recommendation be in accordance with my views I am happy for the application to be determined under delegated powers. YES/NO

Signed: _____ Date: _____

Councillor Browne

**********FILE COPY**********

Received by Wokingham District Council

Application No: VAR/2002/7942

Proposal:
Proposed variation of condition 6 on consent 25664 relating to occupancy of Clare Cottage.

Location:
Clares Cottage, Church Lane, Remenham, Henley-On-Thames.

The application is available for inspection at Wokingham District Council's offices during the following times:

PLANNING DEPARTMENT, SHUTE END, WOKINGHAM TEL (0118) 974 6428
8.30 - 5.00 Monday - Thursday & 8.30 - 4.00 Friday

WOODLEY LIBRARY HEADLEY ROAD WOODLEY 9.30am - 7.00pm Mon, Thurs & Fri. 9.30am - 5.00pm Tues, 9.30am - 1.00pm Saturday and 1.00pm - 4.00pm Sunday. Library closed all day Wednesday. If you intend to view this application at Woodley Library please allow 4 days from the date of this letter for delivery of plans and remember to quote application number VAR/2002/7942 to enable the library staff to locate the correct information.

Comments should be sent in writing QUOTING THE APPLICATION NUMBER to the Case Officer Angela Odell P.O. Box 157 Council Offices, Shute End, Wokingham Berkshire, RG40 1WR to arrive on or before 07/12/2002. Written comments submitted will become available for public inspection.

In view of the very large number of planning applications and letters of comment received, it will not be possible for an individual reply to be issued to observations made. However any comments will be taken into account when the application is determined and you will be notified of the decision.

Trevor Watson
Development Control Manager

13 November 2002

Organisation_Name	Building_Name	address1	Old_Postcode
	WHITE LODGE	WHITE LODGEREMENHAM HILLHENLEY-ON-THAME	RG9 3EU
Unit 2	WHITE HILL FARM	WHITE HILL FARMREMENHAM CHURCH LANEHENLI	
3	WHITE HILL FARM	WHITE HILL FARMREMENHAM CHURCH LANEHENLI	
4	WHITE HILL FARM	WHITE HILL FARMREMENHAM CHURCH LANEHENLI	

Tokers Cottage, Remenham Church Lane
Yewgate cottage, Remenham Hill
The Old Coach house, "

Neighbours list VAR/2002/7942

06.NOV.2002 7242

Evans Planning and Development Consultancy

Mike Evans BA MA MPhil DipTP MRTPI
Chartered Town Planner
18 Webb Court
Wokingham
Berkshire
RG40 5YR
Tel: 0118 9892968
Mobile: 07766 053657
Email: EvansPlanningUK@Yahoo.com

Environmental Services Department
Planning Services
P O Box 157
Wokingham District Council
Shute End
WOKINGHAM
Berks
RG40 1WR

APPLICATION TO VARY CONDITION NO. 6 OF PLANNING PERMISSION NO. 25664

FAO IAN JORDAN

4th November 2002

Dear Mr Jordan

I am writing to you in order to apply for the variation of the above condition on behalf of the TA Copas Family Settlement. As you know, the legislation allows this matter to be dealt with by a letter. The recent appeal decision regarding the refusal of application VAR/2001/3531, copy attached, varied the condition in respect of John Cottage so that occupancy would no longer be restricted to an employee of the specific holding.

Condition 6 of planning permission ref 25664 reads:

> "The occupation of the dwellings hereby permitted shall be limited to a person solely or mainly employed in agriculture as defined by

06.NOV.2002 7942

section 290 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971 and employed in connection with the working and management of the agricultural holding as defined on the submitted plan, including a dependant of such a person residing with him or her or a widow or widower of such a person."

I am applying to vary this condition, in respect of Clare Cottage, so it reads:

"The occupation of Clare Cottage shall be limited to a person solely or mainly working, or last working, in the locality in agriculture or forestry, or a widow or widower of such a person, and to any resident dependants."

I enclose a cheque for £110 to cover the application fee and also an ownership certificate and a plan showing the site outlined in red.

Thank you for your help with this matter.

Yours sincerely

Mike Evans

06 NOV 2002 7942

Appeal Decision

Site visit made on 20 May 2002

by Jonathan Bore BA (Hons) DipUD MRTPI

An Inspector appointed by the Secretary of State for Transport, Local Government and the Regions

The Planning Inspectorate
4/09 Kite Wing
Temple Quay House
2 The Square
Temple Quay
Bristol BS1 6PN
☎ 0117 372 6372
e-mail: enquiries@planning-inspectorate.gsi.gov.uk

Date 27 JUN 2002

Appeal Ref: APP/X0360/A/02/1082015
'John Cottage', Church Lane, Remenham

- The appeal is made under section 78 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 against a refusal to grant planning permission under section 73 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 for the development of land without complying with conditions subject to which a previous planning permission was granted.
- The appeal is made by Copas Partnership against the decision of Wokingham District Council.
- The application (Ref. VAR/2001/3531), dated 6 March 2001, was refused by the Council by notice dated 19 July 2001.
- The application sought the temporary relaxation of condition 6 of planning permission 25664, dated 28 October 1986, for the erection of two semi-detached cottages for agricultural craftsmen.
- The condition in dispute is No 6 which states that: *'The occupation of the dwellings hereby permitted shall be limited to a person solely or mainly employed in agriculture as defined by Section 290 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1971 and employed in connection with the working and management of the agricultural holding as defined on the submitted plan, including a dependant of such a person residing with him or her or a widow or widower of such a person.*
- The reason given for the condition was: *'The site is within an area where a dwelling would not normally be permitted and permission is only granted because the dwellings are intended to serve the needs of agriculture.*

Summary of Decision: the appeal is allowed and the planning permission varied.

Procedural Matters

1. The original permission 25664 was for the construction of the two semi-detached houses now known as John and Clare Cottages. However, permission is only sought to remove Condition 6 from John Cottage. Permission is requested for a temporary period, which the application form indicates to be 5 years.

Main Issue

2. The main issue in this case is whether the condition is still appropriate, and if not, whether the proposed temporary unfettered residential permission is acceptable having regard to planning policy and the needs of agriculture in the area.

Planning Policy

3. The cottages lie at the entrance to a rural lane east of Henley. They are outside any settlement boundary and within the Green Belt, where strict restrictions on new building apply, as set out in Policy C4 of the Berkshire Structure Plan, Policy GBLP1 of the Green Belt Local Plan for Berkshire and Policy WGB1 of the emerging Wokingham District Local Plan. Against this background of strict control, John and Clare Cottages were permitted to

meet the needs of agriculture, and were thus made subject to the agricultural occupancy condition now in dispute.

4. In respect of the removal of such conditions, Paragraph I21 of PPG 7 warns that a dwelling should not be kept vacant if an occupancy condition has outlived its usefulness. There should be a realistic assessment of the need for the condition, bearing in mind that it is the need for someone solely, mainly or last working in agriculture in an area as a whole and not just on the particular holding that is relevant. Paragraph 9.2.8 of the Green Belt Local Plan indicates that such conditions will only be removed if the agricultural need advanced at the time of the permission no longer exists and there is no need for an additional dwelling to meet the needs of the local agricultural community.

Reasons

5. The disputed condition is unusual in that it restricts occupation not only to those working in agriculture but also to those working on the holding (Remenham Farm). At its peak the holding had some 3,500 acres and 27 employees, but owing to a split in the enterprise, the appellants now farm 890 acres with 3 full-time employees. I consider that this information, together with the fact that John Cottage has been vacant for some time, supports the appellants' contention that the holding no longer generates a need to accommodate an agricultural employee in the cottage. Moreover, by restricting occupation to those working on the holding, the condition is unduly onerous having regard to the advice in Circular 11/95. In its present form, therefore, I consider that the condition is not appropriate and should be removed.

6. Nonetheless, I consider that given the advice in PPG 7 and the context set by the Green Belt Local Plan, it would be appropriate to look at whether there is a need for accommodation for agriculture or forestry workers in the area as a whole first, rather than allowing open residential occupation albeit for a temporary period.

7. The appellants argue that to their knowledge there is no need locally for agricultural workers' accommodation, but I can find no adequate evidence in any of the representations to bear that out. Whilst the present restrictive condition might have prevented the appellants from marketing the dwelling more widely, no research or other material has been put to me into the need for, or availability of, agricultural dwellings in the area. I appreciate the intention behind the proposal is to allow ordinary residential occupation until there is an upturn in the agriculture industry, and thus to avoid any problems associated with the vacancy of the dwelling. However, for the policy reasons I have given, I consider that the residential needs of agriculture in the area as a whole should be considered first, and those needs have not been adequately assessed. For these reasons I consider that condition 6 should be replaced with a condition which would make the dwelling available to other agricultural and forestry workers in the area.

Conclusion

8. I consider that the appeal should be allowed, not to allow temporary unfettered residential occupation, but to delete the requirement limiting occupants to the holding (Remenham Farm), and to impose the normal agricultural and forestry workers' condition as set out in paragraph I17 of PPG 7.

Formal Decision

9. In exercise of the powers transferred to me, I allow the appeal and vary the planning permission (Ref. 25664), dated 28 October 1986, granted by Wokingham District Council, in respect of John Cottage, by deleting 6 and substituting therefor the following condition:

   6. The occupation of John Cottage shall be limited to a person solely or mainly working, or last working, in the locality in agriculture or forestry, or a widow or widower of such a person, and to any resident dependants.

Information

10. A separate note is attached setting out the circumstances in which the validity of this decision may be challenged by making an application to the High Court.

11. This decision does not convey any approval or consent that may be required under any enactment, by-law, order or regulation other than section 57 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

Jonathan Bore

INSPECTOR

1789/a

SECTION 66 CERTIFICATE

06.NOV.2002 7942

Application No.

Please fill in both Certificate A, B, C or D and the Agricultural Holdings Certificate. Delete sections not relevant.

(a) "Owner" means a person having a freehold interest or leasehold interest the unexpired term of which is not less than 7 years.

OR

+ See Note (a) to Certificate A

OR

(b) Insert description of steps taken.

(c) Name of local newspaper circulating in the area where the land is situated.

(d) Date of publication (which must be not earlier than the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the application).

CERTIFICATE A

I certify that:

at the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the accompanying application, nobody, except the applicant, was the owner of (a) of any part of the land to which the application relates.

CERTIFICATE B

I certify that:

I have/The applicant has* given the required notice to everyone else who, at the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the accompanying application was the owner (a) of any part of the land to which the application relates, as listed below.

Owner's(a) name	Address at which notice was served	Date on which notice was served

CERTIFICATE C

I certify that

- I/The applicant* cannot issued a certificate in accordance with either paragraph (a) or paragraph (b) of Section 66(1) of the Act in respect of the accompanying application.

- I have/The applicant has* given the required notice to the persons specified below, being persons who at the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the application were owners (a) of any part of the land to which the application relates.

Owner's(a) name	Address at which notice was served	Date on which notice was served

- I have/The applicant has* taken all reasonable steps open to me/him/her* to find out the names and addresses of the other owners (a) of the land, or of a part of it, but have/has* been unable to do so. These steps were as follows:

  (b) .....

  .....

- Notice of the application as attached to this Certificate, has been published in

  the (c) .....

  on (d) .....

1789/b

CERTIFICATE D

I certify that

- I/The applicant cannot issue a certificate in accordance with paragraph (a) of Section 66 of the Act in respect of the accompanying application.

- I/The applicant have/has taken all reasonable steps open to me/him/her to find out the names and addresses of everyone else who, at the beginning of the period of 21 days beginning with the date of application was the owner (a) of any part of the land to which the application relates, but have/has been unable to do so. These steps were as follows:

(b) . . . . . .

. . . . . .

- Notice of the application as attached to this Certificate, has been published in

the (c) . . . . . .

on (d) . . . . . .

OR

(b) Insert description of steps taken

(c) Insert name of local newspaper circulating in the area where the land is situated.

(d) Insert date of publication (which must not be earlier than the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the application).

ALL APPLICANTS MUST COMPLETE THIS SECTION

If any of the site is part of an area of land which is let or there is an agreement to let the land for agricultural purposes to a tenant, it may be an agricultural holding. Further advice may be obtained from the Department.

Agricultural Holdings Certificate

One or other section must be crossed through as not applicable.

If the applicant is the sole agricultural tenant he or she must delete the first alternative and insert "not applicable" as the information required by the second alternative.

- None of the land to which the application relates is, or is part of, an agricultural holding.

OR

- I have/The applicant has given the required notice to every person other than my/him/herself who, at the beginning of the period of 21 days ending with the date of the application, was a tenant of an agricultural holding on all or part of the land to which the application relates, as follows:

Tenant's name	Address at which notice was served	Date on which notice was served

Signed . . [signature] . . . . . .

On behalf of . . TA COPAS FAMILY SETTLEMENT . . . . . .

Date . . . 4th NOVEMBER 2002 . . . . . .

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1990
TOWN & COUNTRY PLANNING GENERAL DEVELOPMENT ORDER 1988
Certificate under Section 66

1933 CLARES COTTAGE

ADDRESS CHURCH LANE, REMENHAM PARISH REMENHAM

PLOT No. ORD. SHEET No. SU 7783(5)

BUILDER

Plan No.	Applicant	Description of Development	Date of Decision	Decision
25156	MESSRS. COPAS BROS	2 S/DET AGR COTTAGES	2.4.86	REF
33631	"	2 S/DET COTTAGES	27.9.89	C/A
BRA 11283	"	"	21.2.97	APD
VAR/2002/7942	TA Copas Family Settlement	VAR. on COND 6 on 25664 relating to occupancy of Property		

MINISTRY DECISION

SPECIAL ORDERS