

Arboricultural Planning Report For:

47 The Terrace
Wokingham
Berkshire
RG40 1BP



Client:	Alex Kiszczuk	
Report Date:	27/06/2024	
Survey Date:	06/06/2024	
Report Ref.:	24 2600	
Rev. No.:	-	
Checked By:	KM	
Arb Consultancy Ltd 65 South Terrace Dorking Surrey RH4 2AF		t: 01306 500382 m: 07971 121948 e: info@arbconsultancy.co.uk



Contents

1	Introduction.....	5
1.1	Instructions and Terms of Reference	5
1.2	Documents Used	5
1.3	Contact Details	5
1.4	Abbreviations	6
2	The Site.....	6
2.1	Site Appraisal.....	6
2.2	Soils.....	7
3	The Trees	8
3.1	Categorisation, Assessment and Summary	8
3.2	Protection Status.....	8
4	The Proposal	9
5	Arboricultural Impact Assessment	9
5.1	Arboricultural Implications.....	9
5.2	Recommended Tree Works.....	9
5.3	Tree Protection Measures.....	9
6	Conclusions.....	10
7	Bibliography	10
8	Arboricultural Method Statement	11
8.1	Arboricultural Monitoring and Supervision.....	11
8.2	Table of Arboricultural Supervision.....	12
8.3	Importance of Arranging the Pre-commencement Site Meeting	12
8.4	General Precautions.....	13
8.5	Tree Surgery	13
8.6	Tree Protection Fencing	14
8.7	Sensitive Excavation within RPA's	16
	Appendices.....	18
Appendix 1	Site Photographs	19
Appendix 2	Tree Survey.....	22
Appendix 3	Tree Schedule	25



Appendix 4	Tree Protection Plan.....	26
Table 1:	Categories and descriptions as described in BS 5837:2012 Table 1.....	23
Table 2:	Explanation of Tree Survey Schedule	24
Photograph 1.....		19
Photograph 2.....		19
Photograph 3.....		20



Document Details

Site address:	47 The Terrace, Wokingham, Berkshire, RG40 1BP
Ref:	24 2600
Site visit undertaken by:	Keith Macgregor Dip. Arb(RFS), M. Arbor A
Date of site survey:	06/06/2024
Report prepared by:	Rob Anderson FdSc, ND Arb, MArborA
TPP Ref:	24 2600 AK The Terrace TPP 2024-06-26 v1 RA
Revision:	-

© Arb Consultancy Ltd. Upon receipt of payment in full, the content of this report is released by Arb Consultancy Ltd for the exclusive use of the client, their agents and submission to the Local Planning Authority. It may not be sold, lent, hired out or divulged to any third party not directly involved in the subject matter without the written consent of Arb Consultancy Ltd.

The statements made in this report do not take account of the effects of extremes of climate, vandalism, or accident, whether physical, chemical, or fire. Arb Consultancy Ltd cannot therefore accept any liability in connection with these factors, nor where the prescribed work is not carried out in a correct and professional manner in accordance with current best practice. The authority of this report ceases at any time limit stated within it, or if none stated after one year from the date of the survey or when any site conditions change or pruning or other works unspecified in the report are carried out to, or affecting the subject tree(s), whichever is the sooner.



1 Introduction

1.1 Instructions and Terms of Reference

- 1.1.1 Arb Consultancy Ltd was instructed by Alex Kiszczuk to survey the subject tree(s) in order to assess their general condition and to provide an arboricultural report relating to the proposed development at 47 The Terrace, Wokingham, Berkshire, RG40 1BP.
- 1.1.2 The purpose of this arboricultural report is to assess the direct and indirect effects of the proposed development on the surveyed tree(s) and to recommend such measures as are necessary to safeguard them in a sustainable manner.
- 1.1.3 An electronic copy of the existing site layout and proposal was provided and this formed the basis of the Tree Protection Plan.
- 1.1.4 As stated above this Report and Survey is intended for planning purposes only and in no way constitutes a safety inspection of any of the trees onsite. We recommend that all trees undergo a full safety inspection to fulfil the owner's duty of care as defined by both civil law and the Occupiers' Liability Acts of 1957 & 1984.

1.2 Documents Used

Topographical Survey/Existing Site Layout: Existing Site Plan 227_03_001.dwg

Proposed Site Layout: Proposed Site Plan 277_03_101.dwg

1.3 Contact Details

Role	Contact Name	Company
Client	Alex Kiszczuk	AJK Architecture + Design Ltd
Architect /Agent	Alex Kiszczuk	AJK Architecture + Design Ltd
Project Arboriculturist	Rob Anderson FdSc, ND Arb, MArborA	Arb Consultancy Ltd
LPA Tree Officer	TBC	Wokingham Borough Council



1.4 Abbreviations

The following abbreviations will be used throughout this report:

BS 5837	British Standard – ‘BS 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition, and construction – Recommendations’
AIA	Arboricultural Implications Assessment
AMS	Arboricultural Method Statement
LPA	Local Planning Authority
VTA	Visual Tree Assessment
RPA	Root Protection Area
TPP	Tree Protection Plan
TPO	Tree Preservation Order
CA	Conservation Area
CEZ	Construction Exclusion Zone
CCS	Cellular Confinement System
BNG	Biodiversity Net Gain

2 The Site

2.1 Site Appraisal

- 2.1.1 47 The Terrace (the ‘site’) is a semi-detached residential property that underwent extension works in the 1990’s. To the front is a gravel driveway. To the rear is a patio area leading onto a lawn which has one standalone Beach tree within it.
- 2.1.2 The one tree within the site is a high-quality Beech tree (T1). Offsite to the east consists of a cluster of low-quality Lawson Cypress trees growing along the boundary in a neighbouring garden (No.45 The Terrace).
- 2.1.3 For further details please see the Appendix 3 Tree Schedule.
- 2.1.4 An aerial photograph of the site is included below:



Aerial image of site with indicative red line boundary (© Google Maps 2024)

2.2 Soils

- 2.2.1 Reference to the [BGS Geology Viewer \(BETA\)](#) indicates that the underlying geology of the site forms part of the Bagshot Formation - Sand
- 2.2.2 Regardless of the BGS geological data, site investigations should confirm the exact makeup of the soil as pockets of clay can occur in unexpected locations.
- 2.2.3 The presence of a clay element within the soil is significant in terms of both tree protection and foundation design.



3 The Trees

3.1 Categorisation, Assessment and Summary

- 3.1.1 The trees have been assessed according to the criteria set out in BS5837.
- 3.1.2 The one tree within the site is a high-quality Beech tree (T1). Offsite to the east is a cluster of low-quality Lawson Cypress trees growing along the boundary in a neighbouring garden (No.45 The Terrace).
- 3.1.3 A schedule of the surveyed trees is included within Appendix 3 of this report. The trees have been categorised in accordance with BS 5837.

3.2 Protection Status

3.2.1 Tree Preservation Orders and Conservation Areas (Town and Country Planning Act 1990)

- 3.2.2 Our basic online searches suggest there to be no TPO's pertaining to trees/vegetation on or adjacent to the site, and that the site is located within the Wokingham Town Center CA.
- 3.2.3 Further to the above, it must be stated that searches undertaken by Arb Consultancy Ltd with specific regard to the statutory protection status of trees are preliminary in nature and collated with information obtained from the respective LPA website. Such information is only a guide as LPA websites and the information provided within them are subject to continual change.
- 3.2.4 It is therefore strongly advised that information pertaining to the statutory protection status of a tree or trees, on and/or adjacent to development sites be fully investigated by contacting the respective LPA directly. Should a TPO or CA status be confirmed then full details should be obtained in writing from the respective LPA.



4 The Proposal

- 4.1.1 The proposal consists of the removal of an existing conservatory to the rear of the property and replacing it with a new single-story extension fronted with large patio doors leading onto the garden.
- 4.1.2 To the front of the property a new set of steps leading to the front door is to be installed. The steps and all other internal alterations will not impact upon trees.

5 Arboricultural Impact Assessment

5.1 Arboricultural Implications

- 5.1.1 An assessment of the arboricultural impacts associated with this development are provided below and intended to be read in conjunction with Tree Protection Plan ref: 24 2600 AK The Terrace TPP 2024-06-26 v1 RA
- 5.1.2 The proposed extension protrudes slightly further towards T1 than the existing conservatory but is still located very close to the house and confined within the existing patio area. The total incursion into the notional rooting area for T1 for the proposed equates to 0.4%.
- 5.1.3 To ensure there are no significant disturbances, the excavations for the new footings will be excavated by hand within the RPA as per the method statement.
- 5.1.4 The patio is to be retained throughout the build to act as ground protection with the soft landscaping protected by tree protection fencing.

5.2 Recommended Tree Works

- 5.2.1 No trees within no.47 The Terrace requires work to facilitate the proposed.
- 5.2.2 Neighbours in the adjacent property (No.45) have submitted a section 211 notice (Application Number 241543) to remove two low-quality Lawson cypress trees growing close to the boundary. These trees will be removed prior to starting the on-site construction works.

5.3 Tree Protection Measures

- 5.3.1 The principle of permitting temporary construction access within the RPA is established in BS 5837 clause 6.2.3. Where the requirement for access is justified then this may be achieved through the setting back of the protective fencing and the use of ground protection measures to protect the underlying soil.



- 5.3.2 All retained trees will be robustly protected in accordance with BS 5837. Full details of the necessary tree protection measures are provided within the Arboricultural Method Statement which can be found on page 11 of this report. These issues include:

Arboricultural Monitoring and Supervision

General Precautions

Tree Surgery

Tree Protection Fencing

Installation of Strip Foundations/Excavation within RPA's

6 Conclusions

- 6.1.1 The proposed extension and the internal alterations will have little to no impact on the Beech tree or the remaining trees within the neighbouring garden.
- 6.1.2 Having considered the arboricultural implications associated with this site, I believe the proposal to be arboriculturally sound.
- 6.1.3 Subject to full compliance with this report and the TPP, I believe that all retained trees can be adequately protected and will be safeguarded in a sustainable manner.

7 Bibliography

Anon., 1981. Wildlife and Countryside Act (Amended). s.l.:HMSO.

Anon., 2000. Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (Amended). s.l.:HMSO.

British Standards Institute, 2010. Tree work - Recommendations. London: BSI.

British Standards Institute, 2012. Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations. London: BSI Standards Ltd.

National House Building Council, 2010. NHBC Standards Chapter 4.2. s.l.:NHBC.

Roberts, J., Jackson, N. & Smith, M., 2006. Tree Roots in the Built Environment. Norwich: The Stationary Office.

The National Joint Utilities Group, 2007. Volume 4 - NJUG Guidelines for the Planning, Installation and Maintenance of Utility Apparatus in Proximity to Trees, s.l.: NJUG Publications.



8 Arboricultural Method Statement

The Arboricultural Implications Assessment highlights the approaches required to mitigate issues raised by the integration of existing trees into the proposed design. The Arboricultural Method Statement defines the site-specific specifications for tree protection and other details required to implement the recommendations in a realistic manner.

This Arboricultural Method Statement must be read in conjunction with the approved Tree Protection Plan Ref: 24 2600 AK The Terrace TPP 2024-06-26 v1 RA

8.1 Arboricultural Monitoring and Supervision

- 8.1.1 Effective tree protection can only be achieved by adherence to a logical sequence of works combined with effective arboricultural supervision and monitoring. Prior to the commencement of any works the site owner/manager will appoint a project arboriculturist to supervise and monitor the approved works.
- 8.1.2 The project arboriculturist's role is to ensure that all tree protection measures are fit for purpose, are implemented in accordance with the approved details and to the satisfaction of Wokingham Borough Council. The owner/manager will be responsible for ensuring that all site personnel are made aware of the requirements of this method statement and that any future amendments are known and understood. Copies of the approved AMS will be available onsite, the requirements of which will be incorporated into all relevant site management documents and site induction procedures.
- 8.1.3 A pre-commencement site meeting will be held between the site manager, local authority tree officer and the project arboriculturist. The purpose of this meeting will be to ensure that all aspects of the tree protection measures are clear and understood and that any future sequencing and supervisory arrangements are agreed. The details of this meeting will be recorded and will be circulated to all parties in writing. The pre-commencement meeting also provides the opportunity for discussion between all parties as to the practical implications/challenges that may arise in facilitating the proposals in line with the AMS. Should the meeting identify additional constraints, or a sounder arboricultural approach, a variation encompassing these factors will be submitted to the LPA.
- 8.1.4 Once works commence the project arboriculturist will undertake a programme of monitoring and supervision. This may include phone and email contact with the site manager, regular site visits and direct supervision of sensitive works. The frequency of any monitoring and supervision will be determined by the intensity and proximity of works to trees and will be flexible enough to accommodate changes in the scheduling of tasks as they occur on the site.



- 8.1.5 The project arboriculturist will maintain a record of all aspects of the arboricultural monitoring and supervision and a copy will be sent to Wokingham Borough Council upon completion of the project or as otherwise agreed. This will provide a record of compliance with any agreed tree protection measures and will assist in the efficient discharge of any relevant planning conditions.
- 8.1.6 A recommended programme of works detailing the necessary arboricultural inputs is included within Table below:

8.2 Table of Arboricultural Supervision

Prior to any Demolition, Site Preparation or Construction Works Onsite	
Stage	Action/Operation
1.	Pre-commencement meeting between site manager, project arboriculturist and local authority tree officer. To discuss the precise location and timing of all tree protection measures.
After any Demolition and During any Site Preparation or Construction Works Onsite	
Stage	Action/Operation
2.	Supervision of the excavation of the proposed foundations. To review the tree protection to ensure it is in the right place.
Once All Construction Activities are Complete	
Stage	Action/Operation
3.	Removal of all protective fencing and ground protection measures.

Table 1: Recommended programme of works requiring arboricultural monitoring and supervision

8.3 Importance of Arranging the Pre-commencement Site Meeting

- 8.3.1 Prior to the importation of machinery, materials, and any commencement of groundworks, we advise that a suitable period be allowed for the arrangement of a pre-commencement site meeting with all relevant parties.
- 8.3.2 In our experience, this can vary between a few days to a few weeks and has the potential to delay the start of works onsite. Further guidance on this matter should be sought from the respective LPA.



8.4 General Precautions

- 8.4.1 All trees which are being retained onsite will be protected by protective fencing and/or ground protection as detailed in the following sections. Protective fencing will be erected before any materials or machinery is brought onto the site and before any demolition, development or stripping of soil commences. Once erected fencing will be regarded as sacrosanct and will not be removed or altered without prior recommendation by the project arboriculturist and approval of the LPA.
- 8.4.2 Care will be taken to avoid damage in the following ways:
- 8.4.3 Oil, bitumen, cement, or other material likely to be injurious to a tree will not be stored or mixed within 10m of any trunk unless contained within a bunded structure. Concrete mixing will not be carried out within 10m of a tree unless undertaken within a bunded container. Any spillage shall be immediately reported to the project arboriculturist who will determine what mitigation is required.
- 8.4.4 Fires will not be lit nearer than 5m the limit of the crown spread, will be downwind of the tree and will be prevented from becoming so large as to affect the tree.
- 8.4.5 Notice boards, telephone cables or other services will not be attached to any part of the tree. Trees to be retained will not be used as anchors for equipment used to remove stumps, roots, other trees or for any other purposes.
- 8.4.6 Care will be exercised when using cranes or similar equipment near the spread of the canopy of a tree.
- 8.4.7 It is essential that allowance be made for the slope of the ground so that damaging materials such as concrete washings, mortar or diesel oil cannot run towards trees.
- 8.4.8 Stumps within the RPA will not be dug or pulled out but are to be ground out. Where possible, and with the agreement of all parties involved, standing stumps and debris should be left as a habitat for wildlife if circumstances allow. (British Standards Institute, 2012) (National House Building Council, 2010) (Wildlife and Countryside Act (Amended), 1981).

8.5 Tree Surgery

- 8.5.1 Tree works shall be carried out in accordance with BS3998:2010 *Recommendations for tree work* (British Standards Institute, 2010), industry best practice and in line with any works already agreed with Wokingham Borough Council.
- 8.5.2 If during the course of these operations the need for other work becomes apparent, then the advice of the project arboriculturist will be sought. No works other than those



detailed within the report will be carried out without the prior written consent of Wokingham Borough Council.

- 8.5.3 Attention is paid to the common law right to prune overhanging trees back to boundaries. Should this be required then all efforts will be made to contact the tree owner prior to the commencement of works and all work will be undertaken without access onto third party land.
- 8.5.4 The statutory protection afforded by the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (Amended) (Wildlife and Countryside Act (Amended), 1981) and Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (Amended) (Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (Amended), 2000) will also be adhered to. Where there is evidence that bats, nesting birds or other protected species are present then specialist advice will be obtained prior to the commencement of work. Further advice on bats is available from the Bat Conservation Trust (www.bats.org.uk) and on birds from the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (www.rspb.org.uk).
- 8.5.5 All operations shall be carefully carried out to avoid damage to the trees being treated or neighbouring trees. No trees to be retained shall be used for anchorage or winching purposes.

8.6 Tree Protection Fencing

- 8.6.1 Tree protection fencing will be used to prevent access to the RPAs of retained trees and will be erected within the locations shown on TPP ref. 24 2600 AK The Terrace TPP 2024-06-26 v1 RA. Unless agreed in writing by the project arboriculturist and/or Wokingham Borough Council the following shall apply:
- 8.6.2 Protective fencing (shown as a purple line on the TPP) will be erected prior to any works onsite including demolition, groundwork or the importation of plant and materials.
- 8.6.3 Once erected protective fencing shall remain in situ until all construction activities are complete and shall only be varied with the written consent of the project arboriculturist and/or Wokingham Borough Council.
- 8.6.4 The area to the rear of the protective fencing shall be considered to form a CEZ. No construction activities, storage of materials or pedestrian or vehicular access shall take place within the CEZ without the written consent of Wokingham Borough Council.
- 8.6.5 Confirmation that the protective fencing has been correctly installed will be sought from the project arboriculturist prior to the start of any demolition works, construction activities or the importation of any plant or materials.
- 8.6.6 Protective fencing will comply fully with BS 5837 and will be erected to the standard described in Figure 1. All weather notices will be attached to the protective fencing at suitable intervals, an example of which is given in Figure 2.



- 8.6.7 Regular daily checks will be carried out by the site manager to ensure that the barriers are still in place and functioning and any damage will be rectified without delay.




Figure 1: Example of protective fencing (BS 5837:2012)



Figure 2: Example signage to be securely attached to fencing

8.7 Sensitive Excavation within RPA's

- 8.7.1 Whilst the loss of a small portion of a tree's RPA to construction activities may not be especially harmful, it is essential that the potential for further damage is kept to a minimum at all times. This can be successfully achieved by ensuring that works are carried out in a controlled fashion and in a manner which prevents damage to adjacent soil or roots.
- 8.7.2 All excavation works necessary to form the traditional strip foundation within the RPAs of trees T1 must therefore be carried out in strict accordance with the following methodology:
- 8.7.3 Prior to undertaking any works, the location of any RPAs and excavations must be determined and shall be marked out using non-toxic marker paint.
- 8.7.4 All staff involved will be made aware of this working methodology.
- 8.7.5 All works to be undertaken using hand tools only. At no point will any heavy machinery be permitted to operate within the RPA unless it is positioned on appropriate ground protection or outside of the RPA.



- 8.7.6 Those excavations closest to the tree(s) shall be carried out first. These shall be undertaken using hand tools only and under the direct supervision of the project arboriculturist. Hand excavations shall be carried out to a minimum depth of 0.6m beyond which mechanical means may be employed should the project arboriculturist deem it acceptable.
- 8.7.7 Exposed roots shall be treated in accordance with BS3998:2010 and shall be pruned back to the edge of the excavation using a sharp hand saw or secateurs.
- 8.7.8 Exposed roots and soil closest to the tree shall be covered at the earliest opportunity to protect them from extremes of temperature and desiccation. Where uncured concrete is to be used then an impermeable membrane shall be installed to prevent leachate from entering the RPA.
- 8.7.9 Where excavations render soil within the RPA at risk of collapse then bracing or other support measures shall be employed. These shall be sufficient to prevent any loosening or further loss of soil from within the rooting area of any nearby tree.



Appendices



Appendix 1 Site Photographs



Photograph 1: Photo looking south toward the existing conservatory.



Photograph 2: Photo of patio area and the trees T2 & T3



Photograph 3: Photo looking north of T1



Glossary of Terms and Abbreviations

TO (Tree Officer)	Representative officer of the LPA for tree related matters within the area of the authority.
LPA (Local Planning Authority)	The local government body that deals with all planning related issues within the area of the authority.
RPA (Root Protection Area)	Layout design tool indicating the area surrounding a tree that contains sufficient rooting volume to ensure the survival of the tree, shown in plan form in m ² .
TPP (Tree Protection Plan)	Scale drawing prepared by an arboriculturist showing the finalised layout proposals, tree retention and tree and landscape protection measures detailed within the AMS, which can be shown graphically.
CEZ (Construction Exclusion Zone)	Area based on the RPA (in m ²), identified by an arboriculturist, to be protected during development, including demolition and construction work, by the use of barriers and/or ground protection, fit for purpose to ensure the successful long-term retention of a tree.
AIA (Arboricultural Implications/Impacts Assessment)	Study, undertaken by an arboriculturist, to identify, evaluate and possibly mitigate the extent of direct and indirect impacts on existing trees that may arise as a result of the implementation of any site layout proposal.
AMS (Arboricultural Method Statement)	Methodology for the implementation of any aspect of development that has the potential to result in loss of or damage to a tree.
TPO (Tree Preservation Order)	A TPO is an order made by the LPA in respect of trees or woodlands. The principal effect of a TPO is to prohibit the: • cutting down, • uprooting, • topping, • lopping, • wilful damage, or • wilful destruction of trees without the LPAs consent. The cutting of roots, although not expressly covered in (1) – (4) above, is potentially damaging and so, in the Secretary of State's view, requires the LPAs consent.
CA (Conservation Area)	The law relating to CAs is in Part II of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990. CAs are areas of special architectural or historical interest the character or appearance of which it is desirable to preserve or enhance. They are designated by LPAs and are centred on listed buildings. Other buildings and landscape features, including trees, may also contribute to the special character of a CA.
NJUG (Nation Joint Utilities Group)	Trade Association for street works issues. Promotes best practice, self-regulation and a two-way relationship with Government and other relevant stake holders.



Appendix 2 Tree Survey

Scope and Method of Survey

- The report is concerned with the arboricultural aspects of the site only.
- The survey has been carried out in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 *Trees in relation to design, demolition, and construction - Recommendations*.
- The reference numbers of surveyed trees and tree groups are shown on the TCP/TPP, which is based on the scale drawings supplied.
- The tree survey was carried out from ground level only.
- No tissue samples were taken nor was any internal investigation of the subject trees undertaken.
- Tree heights were estimated to the nearest 1m.
- Trunk diameters have been measured in accordance with Annex C of BS 5837: 2012. Diameters of single stem trees on level ground have been measured at 1.5m above ground level. The diameters of other commonly encountered stems have been measured where most appropriate and this is recorded within the schedule.
- The combined stem diameters for multi-stemmed trees have been calculated in accordance with BS 5837: 2012 paragraph 4.6.1. RPAs are calculated as an area equivalent to a circle with a radius 12 times the stem diameter.
- Tree canopies have been measured either by use of a laser range finder, tape measure or estimated where access has not been possible.
- No access was made onto third party property. Dimensions for trees on adjacent property, and those that at the time of the survey were inaccessible due to dense vegetation or adverse topography, have been estimated.
- The positions of trees not included on a topographical survey have been measured as accurately as possible. These positions must be considered approximate only. If the position of these trees is of critical importance, then a surveyor should be engaged to accurately record their location.
- This report in no way constitutes a health and safety survey. Where concerns for tree health and safety exist the necessary and appropriate tree inspections should be carried out.



Summary of Categories BS 5837:2012

Trees unsuitable for retention	
U	Trees in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. Identified by dark red colouration on the TCP/TPP. These trees should not be a consideration in the planning process.
Trees to be considered for retention	
A	Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. Identified by light green colouration on the TCP/TPP.
B	Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. Identified by mid blue colouration on the TCP/TPP.
C	Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm. Identified by grey colouration on the TCP/TPP. The following subcategories are applied. Trees may be allocated more than one subcategory, but this will not increase their overall value.
1: Mainly arboricultural values	
A1	Trees that are of particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups, or of formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g., the dominant and/or principal tree within an avenue).
B1	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g., the presence of significant though remediable defects including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit category A designation.
C1	Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or of such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories.
2: Mainly landscape values	
A2	Trees, groups, or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features.
B2	Trees present in numbers, usually as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality.
C2	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits.
3: Mainly cultural values, including conservation	
A3	Trees, groups, or woods of significant conservation, historical, commemorative, or other value (e.g., veteran trees or wood-pasture).
B3	Trees with material conservation or other cultural value.
C3	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value.

Table 1: Categories and descriptions as described in BS 5837:2012 Table 1



Explanation of Tree Survey Schedule

TREE No:	Allocated tree number, this may or may not be tagged onsite.
HEIGHT:	Height of tree in metres.
DBH:	Diameter of the tree at 1.5m from ground level or as otherwise described within Annex C of BS 5837:2012.
CROWN SPREAD:	Shown as compass points N, E, S, W.
CROWN HEIGHT:	Height of lowest branch foliage.
FIRST SIGNIFICANT BRANCH:	Height above ground level of lowest significantly sized branch.
AGE CLASS:	Y Young (less than 1/3 through life expectancy). MA Middle aged (from 1/3 to 2/3 through life expectancy). M Mature (over 2/3 through life expectancy). OM Over-mature (beyond average life expectancy). V Veteran (of biological, cultural, or aesthetic value, usually beyond typical age range).
ESTIMATED REMAINING CONTRIBUTION:	The estimated number of years the tree will continue to make a safe and useful contribution to its surroundings, taking into account its current age and physiological and structural condition. (NB. This assumes that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment).
BS CATEGORY:	(Please refer to the BS 5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions) U: Trees unsuitable for retention – those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years. A: Trees of high quality – with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years. B: Trees of moderate quality – with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years. C: Trees of low quality – with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm.
PHYSIOLOGY, STRUCTURE, WORKS REQUIRED:	Description of general form, including presence of physical defects, disease or decay and other appropriate details based on health, vitality, and overall structural integrity.
ESTIMATED:	Y/N (Estimated stem dimension).

Table 2: Explanation of Tree Survey Schedule



Appendix 3 Tree Schedule

Site: 47 The Terrace


Surveyor: Keith Macgregor Dip. Arb(RFS), M. Arbor A

Date of Survey: 06/06/2024

TREE NO	TYPE	SPECIES	HEIGHT	DIAMETER AT 1.5m or arf (mm)	ESTIMATED?	NO. OF STEMS	N	E	S	W	LOWEST CROWN HEIGHT	LCH ORIENTATION	LOWEST BRANCH HEIGHT	LOWEST BRANCH ORIENTATION	AGE CLASS	PHYSIOLOGICAL CONDITION	STRUCTURAL CONDITION	PRELIMINARY MANAGEMENT RECOMMENDATIONS	ESTIMATED REMAINING CONTRIBUTION	CATEGORY combined	NOTES
1	T	Beech	22	1230	N	1	7.5	8	8	7.5	2	S	6	S	Mature	Fair	Fair	No works	40+	A1	Cable bracing at 10m.
2	T	Lawson Cypress	4	300	Y	1	3	0.5	3	2	2	W	2	W	Early-Mature	Fair	Fair	No works	10+	C1	Tree has been previously heavily lopped
3	T	Lawson Cypress	4	250	Y	1	3	2	0.5	2	2	W	2	N	Early-Mature	Fair	Fair	No works	10+	C1	Tree has been previously heavily lopped
4	G	Lawson Cypress	4	180	Y	1	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	2	W	2	E	Young	Fair	Fair	No works	10+	C1	4 stems. Tree has been previously heavily lopped




Appendix 4 Tree Protection Plan



Recommended Specification for Protective Fencing

- 2m high welded mesh panels.
- Placed upon rubber or concrete feet.
- Joined using a minimum of 2 anti-tamper couplers placed at least 1m apart.
- Panel to be supported on the inner side by stabiliser struts secured with ground pins.





Arb Consultancy Limited

Edward Cottage
Leslie Road
Dorking
Surrey
RH4 1PW

T 01306 500382
M 07971 121948
E info@arbconsultancy.co.uk

Client
Mr A Kiszczuk

Site
47 The Terrace, Wokingham, Berkshire,
RG40 1BP

Drawing Title
Tree Protection Plan

PRINT IN COLOUR ON A3 PAPER

Project No 24 2600	Surveyed by KM
Drawn by RA	Checked by KM
Date 26/06/2024	Scale 1:200@A3

Drawing Number TPP 01

Rev -